



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES  
LANSING

RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

MAURA D. CORRIGAN  
DIRECTOR

February 17, 2012

The Honorable Bruce Caswell, Chair  
Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on DHS  
Michigan State Senate  
Lansing, MI 48933

The Honorable David Agema, Chair  
House Appropriations Subcommittee on DHS  
Michigan House of Representatives  
Lansing, MI 48933

Dear Senator Caswell and Representative Agema:

The enclosed report is provided pursuant to PA 63 of 2011, Sec. 505, of the Department of Human Services (DHS) Fiscal Year 2012 Appropriations Act. This section requires that DHS submit a report for youth served in the previous fiscal year and in the first quarter of the current fiscal year, outlining the number of youth served within each juvenile justice system, the type of setting for each youth, performance outcomes, and financial costs or savings. The attached report provides information for youth referred and/or committed to DHS for care and supervision with the exception of those youth supervised by the County of Wayne. Data regarding youth served by the County of Wayne are available directly from the Wayne County Department of Children and Family Services.

If you have any questions, please contact Terri Gilbert, director, Bureau of Child Welfare Funding and Juvenile Programs (formerly named the Bureau of Juvenile Justice), at (517) 335-3489.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Susan Kangas".

Susan Kangas  
Chief Financial Officer

cc: Senate and House Appropriations Subcommittees on DHS  
Senate and House Fiscal and Policy Offices  
State Budget Director

## Section 505 Boilerplate Report

*By March 1, 2012, the department and Wayne County shall provide to the senate and house appropriations committees on the department budget and the senate and house fiscal agencies and policy offices a report for youth served in the previous fiscal year and in the first quarter of the current fiscal year outlining the number of youth served within each juvenile justice system, the type of setting for each youth, performance outcomes, and financial costs or savings.*

Please note this report is representative of data for youth referred or committed to DHS for care and supervision, with the exception of those youth supervised by the County of Wayne. Information on those Wayne county youth is available directly from the Wayne County Department of Children and Family Services.

- The number of youth served in fiscal year (FY) 2011 in public facilities was 226.
- The number of youth served in the first quarter of FY 2012 in public facilities was 95<sup>1</sup>.
- In FY 2011 and first quarter of FY 2012, all State of Michigan Juvenile Justice facilities were secure settings.
- See performance outcomes for public facilities on pages 2 - 4.
- See financial costs for public facilities in Charts A and B on page 5.

---

<sup>1</sup> Some of these 95 youth are included in the previous year's total as they entered care in FY 2011.

## Program Performance Objectives

The Juvenile Programs Division established goals, objectives, and performance standards for all public juvenile justice residential programs. Work teams previously researched best practice, identified performance outcomes, and developed models for juvenile justice residential programs in five specific treatment areas: general, gender-responsive, substance abuse, mental health and sex offender treatment

After two rounds of data reporting on these measures, it has become clear that the measures need to be more refined and operational definitions defined for each measure to ensure consistent data reporting. The Bureau of Child Welfare Funding and Juvenile Programs will reconvene the workgroup to review lessons learned and revisit the performance outcomes measures.

### Measure # 1

The percentage of youth released to a less structured setting shall be at least 85% of all youth exiting the program. A less structured setting is defined as a placement at a lower security level, or in a specialized transitional program.

- Outcome: All youth under the supervision of DHS will receive quality treatment services, enabling them to function in a less structured setting, including return to the community.

This measure was **achieved** for public facilities in FY 2011 and in the first quarter of FY 2012. Eighty-eight percent (88%) of youth that exited public facilities were released to a less structured setting

### Measure # 2

One hundred percent (100%) of youth placed in public facilities shall not be a victim of a substantiated abuse and/or neglect complaint during residential placement. Substantiated means a children's protective services case resulting in the perpetrator being listed on the central registry as a category I, II, or III case.

- Outcome: To ensure the safety of youth under the supervision of DHS in public juvenile justice residential treatment programs.

This measure was **achieved** for public facilities in FY 2011 and for the first quarter of FY 2012. One hundred percent (100%) of youth in public facilities were not victims of substantiated abuse and/or neglect during residential placement. Juvenile Programs ensures the safety of all youth in public residential treatment programs.

### Measure # 3

One hundred percent (100%) of all youth supervised by DHS and placed in public facilities shall be screened for possible educational disabilities and, when appropriate, shall receive appropriate special education services including evaluation, programming and related services as outlined in the youth's Individual Education Plan (IEP) and Multi-disciplinary Evaluation in Multi-disciplinary Team Report (MET) within thirty (30) days of admission. Exception: Youth already participating in a special education program at the time of admission shall be excluded from this measure.

- Outcome: All youth under the supervision of DHS in juvenile justice residential treatment facilities will have access to comprehensive, appropriate, quality educational programs as outlined in federal and state guidelines.

This measure was **achieved** in FY 2011 and first quarter of FY 2012. One hundred percent (100%) of youth in public facilities were screened for possible educational disabilities and received appropriate educational programming as outlined in federal and state guidelines.

### Measure # 4

Ninety percent (90%) of youth who are not certified as special education students shall improve their educational testing scores by one grade level for each six (6) months in care until they are performing at the age appropriate grade level. Once a youth reaches the age appropriate grade level, normal progress shall be maintained.

- Outcome: All youth under the supervision of DHS in juvenile justice residential treatment facilities will have access to comprehensive, appropriate, quality educational programs as outlined in federal and state guidelines.

Achievement of this measure cannot be determined because data for FY 2011 and the first quarter of FY 2012 is not currently available. The Bureau is developing an academic testing protocol that will define revised performance measurements and accurately reflect academic progress made by youth receiving educational services in state facilities. Educational testing tools will include the Kaufman Test for Educational Achievement (KTEA), the Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) for older students and/or the Brigance for general and special education. Educational test scores will also be entered into JJOLT, the automated information management system.

### Measure # 5

Eighty percent (80%) of youth diagnosed with an emotional disorder or mental illness will demonstrate an increased or stable positive score on the Emotional Stability and Social Relations items as measured on the Strength and Needs Assessment score between admission and release.<sup>2</sup>

- Outcome: All youth under the supervision of DHS in public juvenile justice residential treatment programs will have access to quality behavioral health services.

This measure was not achieved for public facilities in FY 2011 and in the first quarter of FY 2012.

- In FY 2011, 69% of all youth showed improved scores for emotional stability; 61% of all youth showed improved scores for social relations.
- In the first quarter of FY 12, 75% showed improved scores for emotional stability; 58% showed improved scores for social relations.

### Measure #6

Eighty percent (80%) of youth released from the jurisdiction of the juvenile justice system will remain free from an adult conviction for at least 12 months post-release; seventy percent (70%) at the 24 month post-release date.

- Outcome: Youth under the supervision of DHS will receive reentry services, enabling them return to the community and remain free from adult conviction post-release.

This measure was **achieved** for public facilities in FY 2011 and in the first quarter of FY 2012.

- Ninety-five percent (95%) remained free from contact with the Michigan Department of Corrections for 12 months post-release.
- Ninety-three percent (93%) remained free from contact with the Michigan Department of Corrections for 24 months post-release.

---

<sup>2</sup> Data could not be sorted for diagnosed emotional disorder or mental illness; therefore, reporting is for all youth in public facilities. Reporting on all youth in care distorts the results pertaining to achievement of the measure.

**Chart A**  
**Fiscal Year 2011 (10/01/2010 – 09/30/2011)**  
**Actual Facility Cost and Youth Per Diem**

Public Facility	Security Level/ Gender	Treatment Programs	Number of Youth Served	Per Diem Costs <sup>3</sup>	Total Facility Cost
Maxey Boys Training School	Secure Male	Sex Offender, Mental Health, & Serious & Violent Chronic Offenders	63	\$626.54 \$667.48	\$11,675,452
Shawono Center	Secure Male	General & Sex Offender	61	\$360.60 \$473.03	\$4,789,334
Bay Pines Center	Secure Male and Female	General (M & F), Substance Abuse (F)	102	\$371.68 \$384.72	\$4,642,348

**Chart B**  
**First Quarter Fiscal Year 2012 (10/01/2011 – 12/31/2011)**  
**Actual Facility Cost and Youth Per Diem**

Public Facility	Security Level/ Gender	Treatment Programs	Number of Youth Served	Per Diem Costs <sup>3</sup>	Total Facility Cost
Maxey Boys Training School	Secure Male	Sex Offender, Mental Health, & Serious & Violent Chronic Offenders	40	\$667.48 \$572.54	\$2,170,110
Shawono Center	Secure Male	General & Sex Offender	29	\$473.03 \$446.56	\$998,727
Bay Pines Center	Secure Male and Female	General (M & F), Substance Abuse (F)	26	\$384.72 \$384.95	\$919,919

<sup>3</sup> Per diem costs are effective for a calendar year; therefore there are two per diem rates for each full fiscal year. Per diem rates for calendar year 2010 and calendar year 2011 are reflected in Chart A for fiscal year 2011. Per diem rates for calendar year 2011 and calendar year 2012 are reflected in Chart B for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, and calendar year 2012.