

**REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE**  
**Pursuant to P.A. 188 of 2010**  
**Section 921**  
**Cost Savings Associated with Closures**

Sec. 921. (1) By April 30, 2011, the department shall report to the chairs of the senate and house appropriations committees, the senate and house appropriations subcommittees on corrections, the senate and house fiscal agencies, and the state budget director on the following:

- (a) The actual savings realized between January 1, 2009 and April 1, 2011 as a result of closing correctional facilities and correctional camps between January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2011, itemized by correctional facility or correctional camp.
- (b) The projected fiscal year 2010-2011 savings by closing correctional facilities and correctional camps between January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2011, itemized by correctional facility or correctional camp.

(2) The report in subsection (1) shall include information on all of the following:

- (a) The savings realized or projected to be realized, itemized by program or type of expenditure.
- (b) Any cost of field supervision, field operations programs, or prisoner reintegration programs related to the closure of correctional facilities and correctional camps between January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010.

There were no funds appropriated for FY 2010-11 for prisons and camps closed during 2009; therefore, strictly speaking, no savings were realized during FY 2009-10 or FY 2010-11 because no funding had been provided. However, several camps and prisons did close between June 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010. Fiscal Year 2009-10 “savings” were in the form of adjustments to baseline FY 2008-09 funding that were among the budgetary changes enacted for FY 2009-10. Table 1 shows closure-related savings totaling about \$122.1 million; net changes to the affected MDOC line items were about \$118.0 million, due to the need to provide additional funding for increased capacity at some locations. Any estimates of costs and savings for FY 2010-11 would be similar to those shown below for FY10 with the exception of the Muskegon Correctional Facility which reopened in FY10 to incarcerate prisoners from Pennsylvania.

**TABLE 1**

<b>FY 2009-10 Closure-Related “Savings”<sup>1</sup></b>									
	<b>Closed</b>	<b>Facility Line Item<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Food Service</b>	<b>Trans- portation</b>	<b>Education</b>	<b>Health Care</b>	<b>Info. Techlgy.</b>	<b>Non- MDOC (Human Resources)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Standish Corr. Facility</b>	10/31/09	\$26,670,900	\$1,425,600	\$739,700	\$112,100	\$3,884,200	\$91,000	\$276,900	<b>\$33,200,400</b>
<b>Hiawatha Corr. Facility</b>	8/6/09	\$20,060,400	\$1,704,400	\$0	\$591,800	\$2,655,600	\$85,800	\$130,400	<b>\$25,228,400</b>
<b>Muskegon Corr. Facility</b>	12/31/09 <sup>3</sup>	\$23,517,800	\$2,168,400	\$0	\$967,100	\$2,653,800	\$128,400	\$142,900	<b>\$29,578,400</b>
<b>Camp Lehman</b>	10/31/09	\$10,018,300	\$834,900	\$194,000	\$283,500	\$428,100	\$34,100	\$77,800	<b>\$11,870,700</b>
<b>Camp Kitwen</b>	7/2/09	\$5,409,300	\$512,000	\$94,700	\$0	\$90,600	\$7,900	\$69,200	<b>\$6,183,700</b>
<b>Camp Ottawa</b>	7/13/09	\$4,549,100	\$463,600	\$111,400	\$0	\$89,400	\$14,000	\$0	<b>\$5,227,500</b>
<b>Camp Cusino</b>	6/23/09	\$5,747,100	\$554,400	\$128,000	\$218,600	\$95,400	\$24,500	\$0	<b>\$6,768,000</b>
<b>Camp White Lake</b>	9/11/09	\$3,575,700	\$283,200	\$0	\$113,200	\$95,600	\$16,600	\$0	<b>\$4,084,300</b>
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$99,548,600</b>	<b>\$7,946,500</b>	<b>\$1,267,800</b>	<b>\$2,286,300</b>	<b>\$9,992,700</b>	<b>\$402,300</b>	<b>\$697,200</b>	<b>\$122,141,400</b>

*Notes:*

1. Exclusive of any economic adjustments that would have applied had the facility been funded in FY 2009-10.
2. Hiawatha C.F. and camps were not funded in separate line items, but rather under line items that also funded associated facilities.
3. Date closed to Michigan prisoners. Muskegon houses Pennsylvania prisoners under a contract with that state.

To accommodate increases in the parole caseload, 2009 PA 114 included increases of \$16.9 million for global positioning system (GPS) electronic monitoring, \$7.3 million for parole supervision, and \$23.4 million for the Michigan Prisoner Reentry Initiative (MPRI), for an overall parole-related increase of roughly \$47.6 million. However, these increases were provided to accommodate increases in the parole population, as well as anticipated increases. FY11 Appropriations in PA 188 of 2010 continues this funding.