

MDOT/Local Agency Bridge Bundling Program

Program Manager Consultant (PMC)

Questions & Answers #3

Question 1: If successful, we assume we will have the ability to add firms at a later date based on the work included in each Work Task. However, for the purposes of our SOQ response are we expected to include staff needed to meet the precautionary prequalifications listed? Or can we add these staff/firms at a later date if a specific Work Task requires them?

Answer 1: Proposals must identify staff meeting all prequalification categories, including the precautionary prequalifications listed. Any changes to key staff for a specific Work Task will require MDOT approval according to the standard process.

Question 2: Will MDOT be requiring a specific format for resumes?

Answer 2: MDOT will require the standard format.

Question 3: The scope notes a 10% DBE requirement, but then further states the DBE requirements will be included with each Work Task. Are we to assume a minimum 10% will be required for all Work Tasks?

Answer 3: No, the intent is to balance the entire program with 10% DBE, and it is understood that some tasks may lend themselves better for DBE involvement than others.

Question 4: The scope notes several times that final design and CEI isn't included in the contract and will be solicited as separate design RFP's for each individual bridge bundle. However, under the General Project Information (second paragraph) it notes services may include preliminary engineering activities required to develop single or multiple contracts using a variety of delivery methods (DBB, DB, DBF, DBFOM, CMGC). Are we to assume that this would be more related to PE activities completed as part of the scoping services, or to 30%, etc?

Answer 4: Yes. For alternative delivery methods, such as DB projects, development of the DB books is included in this scope of services.

Question 5: Support and assistance are noted for ROW activities, but no ROW prequalifications are listed (primary or secondary).

Answer 5: Since any ROW acquisition will be for local agency roadways, the intent is for MDOT/PMC to provide support to acquisition activities, not lead them.

Question 6: We noted the point scoring added up to 120. This isn't a typical scoring format and we wanted to verify the Department doesn't expect any addenda to modify this?

Answer 6: No, the Department does not expect to modify the scoring.

Question 7: Given the importance of past projects, would the Department consider project profiles not counting towards the page limit?

Answer 7: No, please adhere to the page limit as currently required.

Question 8: We assume we are to use the 5100D form as listed in the RFP and not the 5100D-ICU form, but wanted to verify. Also both 5100D series forms note we are to leave the average number of hours per week on project column blank if it is for as-needed services. We wanted to verify that this would be considered an as-needed type contract since the work will be provided through separate Work Tasks.

Answer 8: Yes, the 5100D is required and not the 5100D-ICU. Yes, the average hours per week should be left blank for these services.