



JOHN ENGLER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
LANSING



RUSSELL J. HARDING
DIRECTOR

August 27, 2002

Mr. Joseph VanLandershoot, Chairperson
Alger County Board of Commissioners
101 Court Street
Munising, Michigan 49862

Dear Mr. VanLandershoot:

In accordance with Section 11533(6) of Part 115, Solid Waste Management, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared the Alger County (County) Solid Waste Management Plan Update (Plan).

The Plan, as written by the DEQ, adequately meets the solid waste disposal needs of the County for the next five-year period. Further, by your letter dated July 8, 2002, to Ms. Christina Miller, the Alger County Board of Commissioners has been identified as the responsible entity to take legal action to guarantee compliance with the Plan, as required by Part 115. Therefore, the Plan is hereby approved and issued to the County.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Rhonda Oyer Zimmerman, Chief, Solid Waste Management Unit, Waste Management Division, at 517-373-4750.

Sincerely,

Russell J. Harding
Director
517-373-7917

cc: Senator Walter H. North
Representative Stephen F. Adamini
Mr. Arthur R. Nash Jr., Deputy Director, DEQ
Mr. Thomas M. Hickson, Legislative Liaison, DEQ
Mr. Jim Sygo, DEQ
Ms. Joan Peck, DEQ
Mr. Robert Schmeling, DEQ – Upper Peninsula
Ms. Rhonda Oyer Zimmerman, DEQ
Ms. Lynn Dumroese, DEQ
Ms. Christina Miller, DEQ
Alger County File

ALGER COUNTY

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Prepared by
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
Waste Management Division

1997 PLAN UPDATE COVER PAGE

The Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (NREPA), Part 115, Solid Waste Management, and its Administrative Rules, requires that each County have a Solid Waste Management Plan Update (Plan) approved by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ). Section 11539a requires the MDEQ to prepare and make available a standardized format for the preparation of these Plan updates. This document is in that format.

DATE SUBMITTED TO THE MDEQ:

DESIGNATED PLANNING AGENCY PREPARING THIS PLAN UPDATE:

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

CONTACT PERSON: Christina Miller

ADDRESS: Department of Environmental Quality
Waste Management Division
P.O. Box 30241, Lansing, MI 48909-7741

PHONE: (517) 373-4741 FAX: (517) 373-4797

E-MAIL: millerc1@michigan.gov

CENTRAL REPOSITORY LOCATION(S):

Department of Environmental Quality
Waste Management Division
525 West Allegan Street
Lansing, Michigan 48933

Alger County Court House
County Clerk Office
101 Court Street
Munising, Michigan 49862

Central Upper Peninsula Planning & Development Regional Commission
2415 14th Avenue South
Escanaba, Michigan 49829

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	I-1
Overall View of the County	I-1
Conclusions	I-2
Selected Alternatives	I-2
Introduction	
Goals and Objectives	I-3
Database	II-1
Solid Waste Disposal Areas	II-2
Solid Waste Facility Descriptions	II-3
Solid Waste Collection Services and Transportation Infrastructure	II-6
Evaluation of Deficiencies and Problems	II-7
Demographics	II-8
Land Development	II-9
Solid Waste Management Alternatives	II-10
Selected Solid Waste Management System	III-1
Import/Export Authorizations	III-2
Solid Waste Disposal Areas	III-6
Facility Descriptions	III-7
Solid Waste Collection Services and Transportation Infrastructure	III-10
Resource Conservation Efforts	III-11
Waste Reduction, Recycling and Composting Programs	III-12
Educational and Informational Programs	III-24
Timetable for Selected System Implementation	III-25
Siting Review Procedures	III-26
Solid Waste Management Components	III-30
Local Ordinances and Regulations Affecting Solid Waste Disposal	III-33
Capacity Certifications	III-34
Appendix A - Additional Information Regarding the Selected System	A-1
Evaluation of Recycling	A-2
Detailed Features of Recycling and Composting Programs	A-3
Coordination Efforts	A-5
Costs and Funding	A-6
Evaluation Summary of the Selected System	A-7
Advantages and Disadvantages of the Selected System	A-8
Appendix B - Non-Selected Systems	B-1
Non-Selected Systems	B-2
Evaluation Summary of the Non-Selected System	B-4
Advantages and Disadvantages of the Non-Selected System	B-5
Appendix C - Public Participation and Approval	C-1
Public Involvement Process	C-2
Planning Committee Appointment Procedure	C-3
Appendix D - Plan Implementation Strategy	D-1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes the Solid Waste Management System selected to manage solid waste within Alger County (County). In case of conflicting information between the Executive Summary and the remaining contents of the Plan update, the information provided in the main body of the Plan update found on the following pages would take precedence over the Executive Summary.

It is the intention of this Plan to provide the County with the mechanism to dispose of its solid waste within the rules and regulations contained in the NREPA.

OVERALL VIEW OF THE COUNTY

The population of the County has grown from 8,962 people in 1990 to 9,862 people in 2000, an increase of 9.9 percent in 10 years. The major sectors of the economy include tourism and manufacturing.

The following information was obtained from the state of Michigan, Department of Management and Budget (DMB), United States (U.S.) Census Bureau and through information provided by the County.

Township or Municipality Name	Population		(% Based on 2000 Census Data) % Land Use		% of Economic Base			
	(1990) Other	(2000)	Rural	Urban	Ag*	Man	Com	
<u>Alger County</u>	<u>8,972</u>	<u>9,862</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>3.40</u>	<u>30.39</u>	<u>34.28</u>	<u>31.92</u>
<u>Au Train Township</u>	<u>1,047</u>	<u>1,172</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1.62</u>	<u>35.04</u>	<u>36.12</u>	<u>27.22</u>
<u>Burt Township</u>	<u>508</u>	<u>480</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1.34</u>	<u>12.75</u>	<u>30.87</u>	<u>55.03</u>
<u>Grand Island Township</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>22.22</u>	<u>11.11</u>	<u>66.67</u>
<u>Limestone Township</u>	<u>334</u>	<u>407</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2.56</u>	<u>26.92</u>	<u>29.99</u>	<u>41.03</u>
<u>Mathias Township</u>	<u>563</u>	<u>571</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6.04</u>	<u>29.67</u>	<u>36.26</u>	<u>28.02</u>
<u>Munising City</u>	<u>2,783</u>	<u>2,539</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>2.57</u>	<u>32.32</u>	<u>31.84</u>	<u>33.27</u>
<u>Munising Township**</u>	<u>2,193</u>	<u>3,125</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>1.82</u>	<u>34.50</u>	<u>37.05</u>	<u>26.63</u>
<u>Onota Township</u>	<u>244</u>	<u>310</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>15.38</u>	<u>40.38</u>	<u>44.23</u>
<u>Chatham Village</u>	<u>268</u>	<u>231</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>9.84</u>	<u>23.77</u>	<u>35.25</u>	<u>31.15</u>
<u>Balance of Rock River Twp***</u>	<u>1,011</u>	<u>1,213</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8.96</u>	<u>24.44</u>	<u>34.70</u>	<u>31.90</u>
Total Population	<u>9,862</u>							

** Does not include the City of Munising

*** Does not include Chatham Village

*Ag = Agriculture, Forestry & Fisheries;

Man = Manufacturing, Mining, Construction;

Com = Commercial, Transportation, Retail, Finance, Entertainment & Wholesale trade;

Oth = Other professional, Health, Education & Public Administration

CONCLUSIONS

The MDEQ is limited in its knowledge of the County; however, the current solid waste system used in the County appears adequate to handle the County's disposal needs for the planning period

The County should decrease its dependency on landfills by encouraging recycling, composting, and resource conservation efforts through education, participation in regional recycling programs, and/or the establishment of additional local programs.

SELECTED ALTERNATIVES

Alternative I. Essentially the same solid waste management system that is currently in place including solid waste collection through private agreements and volunteer recycling and composting programs.

Alternative II. Solid waste collection in the County controlled and conducted by the County through the establishment of a permanent County Solid Waste Management Department. Mandatory residential, commercial, and industrial waste collection, recycling and composting programs, and household hazardous waste collection would be required by county-wide regulations and enforced by the County Solid Waste Management Department

The two alternatives were evaluated and ranked for public acceptability, economics, environmental, and public health impacts, feasibility, and other factors. The results were the selection of Alternative I.

INTRODUCTION

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

To comply with Part 115, Solid Waste Management, of the NREPA (Part 115) and its requirements, each Plan must be directed toward goals and objectives based on the purposes stated in Part 115, Sections 11538(1)(a), 11541(4) and the State Solid Waste Policy adopted pursuant to this Section, and Administrative Rules 711(b)(i) and (ii). At a minimum, the goals must reflect two major purposes of Solid Waste Management Plans:

- (1) To utilize to the maximum extent possible the resources available in Michigan's solid waste stream through source reduction, source separation, and other means of resource recovery and;
- (2) To prevent adverse effects on the public health and the environment resulting from improper solid waste collection, transportation, processing, or disposal, so as to protect the quality of the air, the land, ground, and surface waters.

When possible, the Goals and Objectives from the County's previous Solid Waste Management Plan were used in the development of the Goals and Objectives included in this Solid Waste Management Plan. This Solid Waste Management Plan works toward the following goals through actions designed to meet the objectives described under the respective goals, which they support:

Goal 1: Establish and maintain a high-quality environment by developing and implementing *integrated solid waste management which provides for the protection of public health and the environment.*

Objective 1a: Evaluate the possibility of participating in regional opportunities in the following areas: pollution prevention, household hazardous waste, pesticide collection, recycling, and composting.

Objective 1c: Develop natural disaster protocol for disasters that would result in an excess of solid waste needing disposal.

Objective 1d: Maintain healthful, sanitary conditions at points of solid waste collection, transfer, and disposal/recovery.

Objective 1e: Design solid waste disposal areas and practices to prevent or reduce erosion and sedimentation.

Objective 1f: Provide deterrents against illegal dumping by increasing enforcement and providing rewards.

Goal 2: Promote coordination of solid waste activities and issues.

Objective 2a: Coordinate activities with the state, other counties, local units of government, and the private sector in planning and implementing the solid waste management plan, and eliminate duplication in efforts

Objective 2b: Maintain membership in the Upper Peninsula Recycling.

Goal 3: Increase public awareness and understanding of solid waste management issues.

Objective 3a: Promote the procurement of recycled products by the County, local units of government, and the private sector.

Objective 3b: Explore the possibility of development of an internet initiative which would allow the public on-line access to information about county and local community waste reduction programs

Objective 3c: Explore the possibility of publicizing local and regional opportunities in the following areas: pollution prevention, household hazardous waste, pesticide collection, recycling, and composting.

DATABASE

The amount of residential waste generated was calculated from estimated population figures for five-year intervals from 2000 to 2010 for each municipality in the County. Commercial and Industrial waste estimates were calculated using employment forecast figures per Standard Industrial Classification Codes for the same intervals. These figures were derived from the 2000 County Profile, based on U.S. Census data and the state of Michigan, DMB website.

The residential, commercial, and industrial waste generation numbers in the following table were calculated by using the following table of generation rates empirically derived by analyses conducted by Resource Recycling Systems, Inc. Residential totals were based on 365 days per year. Commercial and industrial totals were based on 260 days per year except for the retail and services categories, which were based on 360 days per year. These figures do not take into consideration any other factors affecting solid waste fluctuations including seasonal population influxes. However, municipal estimates were adjusted for urban and rural land use percentages in each municipality. Totals have been converted to tons per year.

Year	Residential ¹		Commercial ²		Industrial ³		Total
	Population	Waste Generated (tons/yr.)	Commercial Employment	Waste Generated (tons/yr.)	Industrial Employment	Waste Generated (tons/yr.)	Total Waste Generated (tons/yr.)
1995	9,055	7,370	3,104	2,320	2,752	2,465	12,155
2000	9,862	8,027	3,381	2,527	2,997	2,684	13,239
2005	10,740	8,742	3,682	2,752	3,264	2,923	14,417
2010	11,696	9,520	4,009	2,997	3,554	3,184	15,701
2015	12,736	10,366	4,366	3,264	3,870	3,467	17,097

¹ Based on an average of 4.46 pounds solid waste generated per person per day and 365 days per year. Population based on U.S. Census data.

² Based on an average of 5.75 pounds solid waste generated per person per day and 260 days per year. Commercial employment data from the Michigan Jobs Commission.

³ Based on an average of 6.89 pounds solid waste generated per person per day and 260 days per year. Industrial employment data from the Michigan Jobs Commission.

TOTAL QUANTITY OF SOLID WASTE GENERATED IN ALGER COUNTY:

13,239 Tons Per Year

TOTAL QUANTITY OF SOLID WASTE NEEDING DISPOSAL:

12,773 Tons Per Year

Database

Inventory and description of all solid waste disposal areas within the County or to be utilized by the County to meet its disposal needs for the planning period. Detailed facility descriptions are on the following pages

Landfill/Disposal Area	Type	County
Wood Island Landfill	Type II Sanitary Landfill	Alger County
Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill	Type II Sanitary Landfill	Menominee County
Waste Management of Michigan/Munising Transfer Station	Type B Transfer Station	Alger County

Database

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Sanitary Landfill

Facility Name: Wood Island Landfill

County: Alger Location: Town: 46N Range: 18W Section(s): SE1/4, Section 18 and E1/2, Section 19

Map identifying location included in Attachment Section: Yes No

If facility is an incinerator or a transfer station, list the final disposal site and location for incinerator ash or transfer station wastes: N/A

Public Private Owner: Wood Island Waste Management, Inc

Operating Status (check)	Waste Types Received (check all that apply)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> open	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> residential
<input type="checkbox"/> closed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> commercial
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> licensed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> industrial
<input type="checkbox"/> unlicensed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> construction & demolition
<input type="checkbox"/> construction permit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> contaminated soils
<input type="checkbox"/> open, but closure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> special wastes *
<input type="checkbox"/> pending	<input type="checkbox"/> other: _____

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
Foundry sand, fly ash, and auto shredder fluff.

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	<u>322</u>	acres
Total area sited for use:	<u>23.12</u>	acres
Total area permitted:	<u>17.34</u>	acres
Operating:	<u>9.71</u>	acres
Not excavated:	<u>5.78</u>	acres
Current capacity:	<u>813,000</u>	yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	<u>12</u>	years
Estimated days open per year:	<u>312</u>	days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	<u>80,000</u>	tons

(if applicable)

Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts

Database

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Sanitary Landfill

Facility Name: Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill

County: Menominee Location: Town: 32N Range: 27W Section(s): 3, 4, 9, & 10

Map identifying location included in Attachment Section: Yes No

If facility is an incinerator or a transfer station, list the final disposal site and location for incinerator ash or transfer station wastes: N/A

Public Private Owner: Waste Management, Inc.

Operating Status (check)

- open
- closed
- licensed
- unlicensed
- construction permit
- open, but closure pending

Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

- residential
- commercial
- industrial
- construction & demolition
- contaminated soils
- special wastes *
- other: _____

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:

This landfill is permitted to accept all waste streams as defined by MDEQ for a Type II Landfill.

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	<u>240</u>	acres
Total area sited for use:	<u>240</u>	acres
Total area permitted:	<u>80</u>	acres
Operating:	<u>14.68</u>	acres
Not excavated:	<u>65.32</u>	acres
Current capacity:	<u>4.4 mil</u>	yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	<u>19</u>	years
Estimated days open per year:	<u>281</u>	days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	<u>275,000</u>	tons

(if applicable)

Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts

Database

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type B Transfer Station

Facility Name: Waste Management, Inc. of Michigan/Munising Transfer Station

County: Alger Location: Lot 3 Industrial Park Range: T46N R19W

Map identifying location included in Attachment Section: Yes No

If facility is an incinerator or a transfer station, list the final disposal site and location for incinerator ash or transfer station wastes: Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill

Public Private Owner: Waste Management

Operating Status (check)

- open
- closed
- licensed
- unlicensed
- construction permit
- open, but closure
- pending

Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

- residential
- commercial
- industrial
- construction & demolition
- contaminated soils
- special wastes *
- other: _____

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
N/A

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	<u>2</u>	acres
Total area sited for use:	_____	acres
Total area permitted:	_____	acres
Operating:	_____	acres
Not excavated:	_____	acres
Current capacity:	_____	yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	_____	years
Estimated days open per year:	<u>260*</u>	days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	<u>1,900</u>	tons

(if applicable)

Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts

* Private use only

Database

SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES AND TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

The following describes the solid waste collection services and transportation infrastructure that will be utilized within the County to collect and transport solid waste.

Service Provider	Public/Private	Service Area	Payment	Disposal Facility
Great American Disposal	Private	Alger Co.	Customer	Wood Island
Waste Management of Marquette (WM)	Private	Alger Co.	Customer	Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill

Solid Waste is collected and transported by the above solid waste haulers in properly licensed and maintained waste hauling vehicles over existing county and state roads to the final disposal areas. A map indicating the locations of the nearby sanitary landfills and a map of the county road system are included in the Attachment Section following page D-4.

Database

EVALUATION OF DEFICIENCIES AND PROBLEMS

The following is a description of problems or deficiencies in the existing solid waste system.

The collection of residential solid waste in areas beyond population centers throughout the County requires a high expenditure of time and energy because of their remoteness, low population density, and the cost of transportation.

Recycling is hindered by the cost of transporting the materials to distant markets, the limited availability of marketable quantities of recyclables in low population areas of the County, the improper separation of the recycled items, the volunteer nature of the program, and the lack of participation and coordination between governmental units.

There is no program for collecting household hazardous waste.

DEMOGRAPHICS

The following presents the current and projected population densities and centers for five and ten year periods, identification of current and projected centers of solid waste generation including industrial solid waste for five and ten year periods as related to the Selected Solid Waste Management System for the next five and ten year periods. Solid waste generation data is expressed in tons and was calculated by using 365 days per year for residential solid waste estimates and 260 days for commercial and industrial solid waste estimates.

The Central region of Michigan's Upper Peninsula is expected to decrease slightly in population due to its remoteness and lack of large industry. It is unlikely that there will be large population increases in the County in the future.

The major population centers in the County are the city of Munising and the village of Chatham. The areas of greatest residential and commercial solid waste generation are assumed to coincide with these concentrations of population in the County.

Major areas of industrial solid waste should be clustered where the principal employers of the County are concentrated.

Table 1 illustrates the estimated change in population for the various municipalities over the next five and ten-year periods.

Table 1 Estimated Population by Year¹

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Population</u>			
	2000*	2005*	2010*	2015*
Au Train Township	1,152	1,255	1,366	1,488
Burt Township	559	609	663	722
Grand Island Township	24	26	28	31
Limestone Township	367	400	435	474
Mathias Township	619	674	734	799
Munising City	3,056	3,328	3,624	3,947
Munising Township**	2,413	2,628	2,862	3,116
Onota Township	268	292	318	346
Chatham Village	294	320	349	380
Balance of Rock River Twp.***	1,110	1,209	1,316	1,434
Alger County Total	9,862	10,740	11,696	12,736

¹ The percentage change per five-year period of the total County population is derived from the Department of Management and Budget publication, Preliminary Population Projections to the Year 2020 in Michigan Counties February 25, 2000.

* Estimate

** Does not include the city of Munising

*** Does not include village of Chatham

LAND DEVELOPMENT

The following describes current and projected land development patterns, as related to the Selected Solid Waste Management System, for the next five and ten year periods.

Land use trends in the County indicate residential development occurring along lakes, rivers, and adjacent to major roads. Commercial and industrial development is also tied to major roads and to population centers such as the city of Munising and the village of Chatham

Future trends show that residential development will continue to follow existing roads and will be clustered around lakes and rivers. Commercial and industrial development will be concentrated in currently existing population centers. Additional development will most likely occur on non-forest, upland forest, and agricultural lands.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES

The following briefly describes all solid waste management systems considered by the County and how each alternative will meet the needs of the County. The manner of evaluation and ranking of each alternative is also described. Details regarding the Selected Alternatives are located in the following section. Details regarding each non-selected alternative are located in Appendix B.

Each solid waste management alternative for the County was assessed based on the following criteria in relation to the County's disposal needs:

- Technical Feasibility (for the next five and ten-year periods)
- Economic Feasibility (for the next five and ten-year periods)
- Access to Land [siting] (for the next five and ten-year periods)
- Access to Transportation (for the next five and ten-year periods)
- Collection Services
- Effects on Energy Consumption (for the next five and ten-year periods)
- Environmental Impacts (for the next five and ten-year periods)
- Public Acceptability
- Waste Volume Reduction
- Pollution Prevention
- Resource Conservation (including source reduction)
- Resource Recovery (including source separation, material, energy, and markets)
- Ultimate Disposal Area Uses
- Effects on Public Health
- Institutional Arrangements

The alternatives were ranked according to how they satisfied above criteria.

Alternative I: This alternative maintains the management system presently in place in the County. Collection of solid waste will continue through private agreements between local haulers and individual homeowners, businesses, industries or municipalities, and the use of available transfer stations. Recycling programs currently in operation in the County are expected to continue at the present level. Backyard composting will be promoted in the unpopulated portions of the County. Population centers such as city of Munising will provide yard waste pickup, for composting for their residents. A regular household hazardous waste collection program may be instituted depending on funding and sponsorship. Education efforts to encourage recycling, composting, resource recovery, resource conservation, and volume reduction of waste may involve existing local agencies and organizations. Solid waste will continue to be disposed of at Wood Island Landfill in Alger County or Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill, in Menominee County for the next five and ten-year planning periods.

Technical Feasibility: Solid waste from the County will continue to be transported and disposed of in sanitary landfills. This form of solid waste disposal is the principal method used in the state of Michigan as well as the entire country. The technology for this system has existed for many years and it will continue to be the primary disposal method for the next five and ten-year periods. The other elements of this alternative, recycling, composting, etc., are also technically feasible based on past experience.

Economic Feasibility: Sanitary landfills are presently the most cost-effective way to dispose of solid waste even though rising fuel costs and consolidation of landfill ownership may increase the overhead of collecting, trucking, and disposing of the solid waste at landfills located outside of the

Database

County. For the next five and ten-year periods, this appears to be the most economically feasible method available. As markets for recycled materials develop and stabilize this option should expand and become a viable alternative to landfill disposal.

Access to Land (siting): The County appears to have sufficient capacity to satisfy the County's solid waste disposal needs through the next five and ten-year periods by utilizing the Wood Island Landfill in Alger County and by exporting their solid wastes to Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill in Menominee County. (See the map of nearby landfills in the Attachment Section).

Access to Transportation: The County has enough primary paved, secondary paved, and unpaved roads to facilitate the collection and hauling of solid waste to the local transfer stations, Wood Island Landfill, and the Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill. County Class A roads and state highways permit transportation of the solid waste accumulated to out-of-county landfills. This situation will not change for the next five and ten-year periods. (See the County road map in the Attachment Section).

Collection Services: Waste from the County is collected by a private waste hauler and transported to landfills both inside and outside the County. "Curbside" collection is provided by private waste collection and hauling firms operating in the County with the residents, businesses, industries, and other organizations they service.

Effects on Energy Consumption: In general, the use of energy is expected to increase during the five and ten-year periods identified in the Plan as the population of the County grows and more energy must be expended to collect, transport, compact, and dispose of the additional solid waste. Expanded recycling efforts in the County may decrease overall energy consumption but the amount of energy savings would be difficult to quantify.

Environmental Impacts: For the next five and ten-year periods, this alternative should have positive environmental impacts. Education may expand recycling and composting programs, and minimizing solid waste generation in the County through resource conservation measures would improve the environment by decreasing the wastestream. The institution of a regular household hazardous waste collection program would also remove those materials from potentially polluting the environment.

Public Acceptability: Public support for a solid waste management system rests on low cost, minimum effort, and familiarity with the process. Alternative I is cost-effective, requires only the effort to deposit solid waste for collection, and uses a collection, transportation, and disposal system known and recognized by the community. In addition, existing recycling and composting programs in the County are available on a voluntary basis.

Waste Volume Reduction: This is approached on two levels: reducing the amount of waste being generated and disposed of through recycling and resource recovery; and reducing the volume through compaction, shredding, baling, or incineration.

The County encourages a volunteer program of recycling and composting. County residents have limited recycling options available to them; however, cardboard, paper (including magazines and

Database

newspapers), number 1 and 2 plastics, tin, and clear, green, and brown glass are accepted at the Black Bear Recycling center located in the city of Munising and scrap metals are accepted at the Wood Island Landfill located in Wetmore.

The only volume reduction to the wastestream occurs during collection by the waste hauling trucks and by mobile compactors at the landfills.

Pollution Prevention: Procedures to prevent pollution are restricted to placing solid waste into plastic bags or other sealed containers to prevent exposure to the environment. Waste haulers and disposal areas are mandated by state and federal regulations to institute pollution prevention measures and to take remedial action when pollution has occurred.

Resource Conservation: The MDEQ is unaware of any programs currently in place or proposed by the County. The Plan encourages future development of local resource conservation and waste reduction programs.

Resource Recovery: The County encourages a volunteer program of recycling and composting. County residents have limited recycling options available to them; however, cardboard, paper (including magazines and newspapers), number 1 and 2 plastics, tin, and clear, green, and brown glass are accepted at the Black Bear Recycling center located in the city of Munising and scrap metals are accepted at the Wood Island Landfill located in Wetmore.

Ultimate Disposal Area Uses: Information as to the ultimate use of the disposal areas, particularly the sanitary landfills, is the responsibility of the owners and/or operators. This data is presently unavailable.

Effects on Public Health: Historically, the collection, transportation, and disposal of solid waste in suitable areas was developed to prevent the buildup of solid waste and eliminate the risk to public health that such an accumulation may cause. The current system in the County adequately protects public health.

Institutional Arrangements: The collection, transportation, and disposal of solid waste generated in the County is performed by private waste collection and hauling companies through agreements with residents, businesses, industries, and local governments. The residents of the County bring recycled materials to the collection boxes on a volunteer basis.

Alternative II: This alternative encourages the County to establish a waste management department and enact an ordinance that would give this waste management department powers to mandate the collection of solid waste, to require household, commercial and industrial recycling, and composting programs, and to regulate the operations of waste haulers in the County.

Technical Feasibility: Solid waste from the County will continue to be transported and disposed of in sanitary landfills. This form of solid waste disposal is the principal method used in the state of Michigan as well as the entire country. The technology for this system of solid waste disposal has existed for many years and will continue to be the primary disposal method for the next five and ten-year periods. The other elements of this alternative, mandatory recycling, composting, etc., are also technically feasible based on experience elsewhere.

Economic Feasibility: The creation and operation of a permanent County Solid Waste Management Agency with responsibilities for administering and enforcing the mandatory recycling, composting, household hazardous materials collection, and solid waste collection programs, and monitoring private waste haulers in the County would require a financial commitment by the County. It is doubtful, given the limited economic base and small population of the County, that the County would support this alternative.

Access to Land (siting): The County appears to have sufficient capacity to satisfy the County's solid waste disposal needs through the next five and ten-year periods by transporting its solid waste to sanitary landfills in and outside the County to the Wood Island Landfill located in Alger County and the Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill located in Menominee County. (See the map of nearby landfills in the Attachment Section).

Access to Transportation: The County has enough primary paved, secondary paved, and unpaved roads to facilitate the collection and hauling of solid waste to the local transfer station and the Wood Island and Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfills. This situation will not change for the next five and ten-year periods. (See the County road map in the Attachment Section following page D-4).

Collection: The County would require the collection of solid waste, recycled materials, and yard waste and would monitor the trucking of these items by private waste collection and hauling companies through the waste management department.

Effects on Energy Consumption: A mandatory system such as this one would remove a great deal of material from the solid wastestream which would result in energy savings in the collection and disposal of solid waste and energy savings in the reuse of recycled materials in manufacturing processes.

Environmental Impacts: This alternative should have a positive environmental impact. The removal of household hazardous materials from the County wastestream, as well as materials separated through recycling, composting, etc., will lessen the risk of pollution of the environment that is always a possibility when handling solid waste disposal.

Public Acceptability: The costs of implementing and enforcing a mandatory solid waste collection system, as outlined in Alternative II, might require the County to introduce or increase fees, levy millage, or otherwise raise funds to run the system. This would certainly be unpopular with the public. In addition, the public is apt to support voluntary programs rather than mandatory programs.

Waste Volume Reduction: This is approached on two levels: reducing the amount of waste being

Database

generated and disposed of through recycling and resource recovery, and reducing the volume through compaction, shredding, baling, or incineration.

The County would require participation in a program of recycling and composting. Recycling containers would be provided throughout the County and additional recycling boxes would be set up as demand required.

The only volume reduction done to the wastestream would occur during collection by the waste hauling trucks and by mobile compactors at the landfills.

Pollution Prevention: Procedures to prevent pollution are restricted to placing solid waste into plastic bags or other sealed containers to prevent exposure to the environment. Waste haulers and disposal areas are mandated by state and federal regulations to institute pollution prevention measures and to take remedial action when pollution has occurred. The County would mandate at least one household hazardous waste collection day per year.

Resource Conservation: The County does not intend to institute any resource conservation programs.

Resource Recovery: The County would require recycling and composting. Recycling containers would be provided at each of the facilities mentioned above and additional bins would be added as the demand increases.

Ultimate Disposal Area Uses: Information as to the ultimate use of the disposal areas, particularly the sanitary landfills, is the responsibility of the owners and/or operators. This data is presently unavailable.

Effects on Public Health: The collection, transportation, and disposal of solid waste in suitable areas were developed to prevent the buildup of solid waste and eliminate the risk to public health that such an accumulation may cause. Therefore, general public health would be protected.

Institutional Arrangements: The County would mandate that all County residents and businesses participate in solid waste collection services, recycling, and composting programs. Private waste haulers would still collect and transport the solid waste to landfills and the recycled materials to a material recovery facility (MRF) under the regulations and rules enforced by the designated County Waste Management Agency.

THE SELECTED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Selected Solid Waste Management System (Selected System) is a comprehensive approach to managing the County's solid waste and recoverable materials. The Selected System addresses the generation, transfer, and disposal of the County's solid waste. It aims to reduce the amount of solid waste sent for final disposal by volume reduction techniques and resource recovery programs. It also addresses collection processes and transportation needs that provide the most cost-effective, efficient service. Proposed disposal areas locations and capacity to accept solid waste are identified as well as program management, funding, and enforcement roles for local agencies. Detailed information on recycling programs, evaluation, and coordination of the Selected System is included in Appendix A. Following is an overall description of the Selected System:

The Selected System for the County, Alternative I, addresses the proper collection and disposal of solid waste generated by residences, businesses, industries, and farms. This selected system uses a combination of public and private initiatives to reduce the risks to public health and to educate the public on the benefits of proper disposal of solid waste, composting, and recycling. Collection of the solid wastes will be managed by private waste haulers contracted by a combination of local units of government, businesses, industry, and homeowners on an individual basis. These collections include both curbside collections and drop-off sites. Public health, MDEQ, Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) regulations, and applicable state and county laws will prescribe law enforcement mechanisms for the County.

Solid waste will be transported by private solid waste haulers to approved licensed landfills authorized to accept waste from the County. The MDOT rules relating to the proper maintenance of equipment and correct handling of solid waste on highways will be followed.

The continuation of current recycling, composting, and household hazardous waste collection programs will be encouraged and new programs may be explored.

Selected System

IMPORT AUTHORIZATION

If a licensed solid waste disposal area is currently operating within the County, disposal of solid waste generated by the EXPORTING COUNTY is authorized by the IMPORTING COUNTY up to the AUTHORIZED QUANTITY according to the CONDITIONS AUTHORIZED in Table 1-A.

Table 1-A

CURRENT IMPORT VOLUME AUTHORIZATION OF SOLID WASTE

IMPORTING COUNTY	EXPORTING COUNTY	FACILITY NAME ¹	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/ DAILY	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/ ANNUAL	AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS ²
Alger	Baraga		100%	100%	P
Alger	Delta		100%	100%	P
Alger	Dickinson		100%	100%	P
Alger	Gogebic		100%	100%	P
Alger	Chippewa		100%	100%	P
Alger	Houghton		100%	100%	P
Alger	Iron		100%	100%	P
Alger	Keweenaw		100%	100%	P
Alger	Luce		100%	100%	P
Alger	Mackinac		100%	100%	P
Alger	Marquette		100%	100%	P
Alger	Menominee		100%	100%	P
Alger	Ontonagon		100%	100%	P
Alger	Schoolcraft		100%	100%	P

Primary Disposal - the disposal capacity which will be used on a routine, daily, or regular basis to meet a county's disposal needs.

Contingency Disposal - disposal capacity whose use is triggered by the actual unavailability of primary disposal capacity, not by economic, business, or convenience considerations.

¹ Facilities are only listed if the exporting county is restricted to using specific facilities within the importing county.

² Authorization indicated by P = Primary Disposal; C = Contingency Disposal; * = Other conditions exist and detailed explanation is included in the Attachment Section.

Selected System

If a new solid waste disposal area is constructed and operating in the future in the County, then disposal of solid waste generated by the EXPORTING COUNTY is authorized by the IMPORTING COUNTY up to the AUTHORIZED QUANTITY according to the AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS in Table 1-B.

Table 1-B

**FUTURE IMPORT VOLUME AUTHORIZATION OF SOLID WASTE
CONTINGENT ON NEW FACILITIES BEING SITED**

IMPORTING COUNTY	EXPORTING COUNTY	FACILITY NAME ¹	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/ DAILY	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/ ANNUAL	AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS ²
Alger	Baraga		100%	100%	P
Alger	Delta		100%	100%	P
Alger	Dickinson		100%	100%	P
Alger	Gogebic		100%	100%	P
Alger	Chippewa		100%	100%	P
Alger	Houghton		100%	100%	P
Alger	Iron		100%	100%	P
Alger	Keweenaw		100%	100%	P
Alger	Luce		100%	100%	P
Alger	Mackinac		100%	100%	P
Alger	Marquette		100%	100%	P
Alger	Menominee		100%	100%	P
Alger	Ontonagon		100%	100%	P
Alger	Schoolcraft		100%	100%	P

¹ Facilities are only listed if the exporting county is restricted to using specific facilities within the importing county.

² Authorization indicated by P = Primary Disposal; C = Contingency Disposal; * = Other conditions exist and detailed explanation is included in the Attachment Section. See Page III-2 for definitions of primary and contingency disposal.

Selected System

EXPORT AUTHORIZATION

If a licensed solid waste disposal area is currently operating within another County, disposal of solid waste generated by the EXPORTING COUNTY is authorized up to the AUTHORIZED QUANTITY according to the CONDITIONS AUTHORIZED in Table 2-A if authorized for import in the approved Solid Waste Management Plan of the receiving County.

Table 2-A

CURRENT EXPORT VOLUME AUTHORIZATION OF SOLID WASTE

EXPORTING COUNTY	IMPORTING COUNTY	FACILITY NAME ¹	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/DAILY	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/ANNUAL	AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS ²
Alger	Baraga		100%	100%	P
Alger	Delta		100%	100%	P
Alger	Dickinson		100%	100%	P
Alger	Gogebic		100%	100%	P
Alger	Chippewa		100%	100%	P
Alger	Houghton		100%	100%	P
Alger	Iron		100%	100%	P
Alger	Keweenaw		100%	100%	P
Alger	Luce		100%	100%	P
Alger	Mackinac		100%	100%	P
Alger	Marquette		100%	100%	P
Alger	Menominee		100%	100%	P
Alger	Ontonagon		100%	100%	P
Alger	Schoolcraft		100%	100%	P

Additional authorizations and the above information for those authorizations are listed on an attached page.

¹ Facilities are only listed if the exporting county is restricted to using specific facilities within the importing county.

² Authorization indicated by P = Primary Disposal; C = Contingency Disposal; * = Other conditions exist and detailed explanation is included in the Attachment Section. See Page III-2 for definitions of primary and contingency disposal.

Selected System

If a new solid waste disposal area is constructed and operates in the future in another County, then disposal of solid waste generated by the EXPORTING COUNTY is authorized up to the AUTHORIZED QUANTITY according to the AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS in Table 2-B if authorized for import in the approved Solid Waste Management Plan of the receiving County.

**Table 2-B
FUTURE EXPORT VOLUME AUTHORIZATION OF SOLID WASTE
CONTINGENT ON NEW FACILITIES BEING SITED**

EXPORTING COUNTY	IMPORTING COUNTY	FACILITY NAME ¹	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/DAILY	AUTHORIZED QUANTITY/ANNUAL	AUTHORIZED CONDITIONS ²
Alger	Baraga		100%	100%	P
Alger	Delta		100%	100%	P
Alger	Dickinson		100%	100%	P
Alger	Gogebic		100%	100%	P
Alger	Chippewa		100%	100%	P
Alger	Houghton		100%	100%	P
Alger	Iron		100%	100%	P
Alger	Keweenaw		100%	100%	P
Alger	Luce		100%	100%	P
Alger	Mackinac		100%	100%	P
Alger	Marquette		100%	100%	P
Alger	Menominee		100%	100%	P
Alger	Ontonagon		100%	100%	P
Alger	Schoolcraft		100%	100%	P

Additional authorizations and the above information for those authorizations are listed on an attached page.

¹ Facilities are only listed if the exporting county is restricted to using specific facilities within the importing county.

² Authorization indicated by P = Primary Disposal; C = Contingency Disposal; * = Other conditions exist and detailed explanation is included in the Attachment Section. See Page III-2 for definitions of primary and contingency disposal.

Selected System

SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AREAS

The following identifies the names of existing disposal areas that will be utilized to provide the required capacity and management needs for the solid waste generated within the County for the next five years and, if possible, the next ten years. Pages III-7-1 through III-7-5 contain descriptions of the solid waste disposal facilities which are located within the County and the disposal facilities located outside of the County which will be utilized by the County for the planning period. Additional facilities within the County with applicable permits and licenses may be utilized as they are sited by this Plan, or amended into this Plan, and become available for disposal. If this Plan update is amended to identify additional facilities in other counties outside the County, those facilities may only be used if such import is authorized in the receiving County's Plan. Facilities outside of Michigan may also be used if legally available for such use.

Type II Landfill:

Wood Island Landfill

Waste Management
Michigan Environs Landfill

Type A Transfer Facility:

Type B Transfer Facility:

Waste Management/Munising
Transfer Station

Type III Landfill:

Processing Plant:

Incinerator:

Waste Piles:

Waste-to-Energy Incinerator:

Other:

Additional facilities are listed on an attached page. Letters from or agreements with the listed disposal areas owners/operators stating their facility capacity and willingness to accept the County's solid waste are in the attachments section.

Selected System

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Sanitary Landfill

Facility Name: Wood Island Landfill

County: Alger Location: Town: 46N Range:18W Section(s): SE1/4, Section 19 and E1/2, Section 19

Map identifying location included in Attachment Section: Yes No

If facility is an incinerator or a transfer station, list the final disposal site and location for incinerator ash or transfer station wastes: N/A

Public Private Owner: Wood Island Waste Management, Inc.

Operating Status (check)

- open
- closed
- licensed
- unlicensed
- construction permit
- open, but closure
- pending

Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

- residential
- commercial
- industrial
- construction & demolition
- contaminated soils
- special wastes *
- other:

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
Foundry sand, fly ash, and auto shredder fluff.

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	<u>322</u>	acres
Total area sited for use:	<u>23.12</u>	acres
Total area permitted:	<u>17.34</u>	acres
Operating:	<u>9.71</u>	acres
Not excavated:	<u>5.75</u>	acres
Current capacity:	<u>813,000</u>	yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	<u>12</u>	years
Estimated days open per year:	<u>312</u>	days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	<u>80,000</u>	tons

(if applicable)

Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts

Selected System

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type II Sanitary Landfill

Facility Name: Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill

County: Menominee Location: Town: 32N Range: 27W Section(s): 3, 4, 9, & 10

Map identifying location included in Attachment Section: Yes No

If facility is an incinerator or a transfer station, list the final disposal site and location for incinerator ash or transfer station wastes: N/A

Public Private Owner: Waste Management

Operating Status (check)

- open
- closed
- licensed
- unlicensed
- construction permit
- open, but closure pending

Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

- residential
- commercial
- industrial
- construction & demolition
- contaminated soils
- special wastes *
- other: _____

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
This landfill is permitted to accept all waste streams as defined by MDEQ for a Type II Landfill

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	<u>240</u>	acres
Total area sited for use:	<u>240</u>	acres
Total area permitted:	<u>80</u>	acres
Operating:	<u>14.68</u>	acres
Not excavated:	<u>65.32</u>	acres
Current capacity:	<u>4.4 mil</u>	yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	<u>19</u>	years
Estimated days open per year:	<u>281</u>	days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	<u>275,000</u>	tons

(if applicable)

Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts

Selected System

FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS

Facility Type: Type B Transfer Station

Facility Name: Waste Management of Michigan, Inc./Munising Transfer Station

County: Alger Location: Lot 3 Industrial Park Range: T46N R19W

Map identifying location included in Attachment Section: Yes No

If facility is an incinerator or a transfer station, list the final disposal site and location for incinerator ash or transfer station wastes: Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill

Public Private Owner: Waste Management

Operating Status (check)

- open
- closed
- licensed
- unlicensed
- construction permit
- open, but closure pending

Waste Types Received (check all that apply)

- residential
- commercial
- industrial
- construction & demolition
- contaminated soils
- special wastes *
- other: _____

* Explanation of special wastes, including a specific list and/or conditions:
N/A

Site Size:

Total area of facility property:	<u>2</u>	acres
Total area sited for use:	_____	acres
Total area permitted:	_____	acres
Operating:	_____	acres
Not excavated:	_____	acres
Current capacity:	_____	yds ³
Estimated lifetime:	_____	years
Estimated days open per year:	<u>260*</u>	days
Estimated yearly disposal volume:	<u>1,900</u>	tons

(if applicable)

Annual energy production:		
Landfill gas recovery projects:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts
Waste-to-energy incinerators:	<u>N/A</u>	megawatts

* Private use only

Selected System

SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES AND TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE:

The following describes the solid waste collection services and transportation infrastructure, which will be utilized within the County to collect and transport solid waste.

Collection services within the County are currently handled by private waste haulers that collect solid waste in the County and transport it to either the Wood Island Landfill or the Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill. Refuse collection is also available to all residents in the cities, villages, and townships in the County through private waste haulers or the residents can elect to haul their own waste to a nearby disposal area.

Waste haulers and County residents are served by state trunklines as well as county maintained primary and secondary roads. (See the County Road map in the Attachment Section).

Overall, the County is adequately served by the present system of solid waste collection, transportation, and disposal.

OVERVIEW OF RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAMS:

The following describes the type and volume of material in the County's waste stream that may be available for recycling or composting programs. How conditions in the County affect or may affect a recycling or composting program and potential benefits derived from these programs, is also discussed. Impediments to recycling or composting programs that exist or may exist in the future are listed, followed by a discussion regarding reducing or eliminating such impediments.

The County operates a voluntary recycling program. County residents have limited recycling options available to them; however, cardboard, paper (including magazines and newspapers), number 1 and 2 plastics, tin, and clear, green, and brown glass are accepted at the Black Bear Recycling center located in the city of Munising and scrap metals are accepted at the Wood Island Landfill located in Wetmore. The following table lists the types of materials and the estimated volume recycled per each five-year period beginning in 2000.

Materials	2000 – 2005 (tons/yr.)	2005 – 2010 (tons/yr.)	2010 – 2015 (tons/yr.)
Cardboard	990	990	990
Paper (magazines)	490	490	490
#1 Plastic	3.75	3.75	3.75
#2 Plastic	75	75	75
Tin	7.5	7.5	7.5
Glass	13.75	13.75	13.75
Scrap Metals	750	750	750
Totals	2,330	2,330	2,330

The expansion of the current voluntary recycling program in the County is hindered by the following factors:

- Low population density complicates the accumulation and transportation of recyclable materials to collection points.
- The cost of overcoming the problems outlined above makes expansion of the program beyond the present level difficult.

The County encourages the composting of yard waste by its residents. The rural nature of the County discourages the large-scale collection of yard waste and brush for composting at a central location, therefore, composting is expected to remain the responsibility of the individual.

Resource conservation is not practiced in the County. The logistics of such a program given the conditions outlined above do not make it feasible except on an individual, voluntary basis.

Selected System

- Recycling programs within the County are feasible. Details of existing and planned programs are included on the following pages.
- Recycling programs for the County have been evaluated and it has been determined that it is not feasible to conduct any programs because of the following:
- Composting programs within the County are feasible. Details of existing and planned programs are included on the following pages
- Composting programs for the County have been evaluated and it has been determined that it is not feasible to conduct any programs because of the following: Composting on any level other than the current voluntary program is not possible at this time. The rural nature of the County and the low-population density over long distances greatly hinders the establishment of a comprehensive, economically viable program.
- Programs for source separation of potentially hazardous materials are feasible and details are included on the following pages.
- Separation of potentially hazardous materials from the County's wastestream has been evaluated and it has been determined that it is not feasible to conduct any separation programs because of the following:

Due to low populations, very little hazardous waste is generated and the cost associated with starting and operating a program is not economically feasible in such a rural area

RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING

The following is a brief analysis of the recycling and composting programs selected for the County in this Plan. Additional information on operation of recycling and composting programs is included in Appendix A. The analysis covers various factors within the County and the impacts of these factors on recycling and composting. Following the written analysis, Tables III-1, III-2, and III-3 list the existing recycling, composting, and source separation of hazardous materials programs that are currently active in the County, and which will be continued as part of this Plan. Tables III-4, III-5, and III-6 list the recycling, composting, and source separation of hazardous materials programs that are proposed in the future for the County. It is not this Plan's intent to prohibit additional programs or expansions of current programs to be implemented beyond those listed.

The County operates a voluntary recycling program. County residents have limited recycling options available to them, however, cardboard, paper (including magazines and newspapers), number 1 and 2 plastics, tin, and clear, green, and brown glass are accepted at the Black Bear Recycling center located in the city of Munising and scrap metals may be dropped off at the Wood Island Landfill located in Wetmore.

Composting is voluntary by the waste generator.

Selected System

TABLE III-1

RECYCLING:

Program Name	Service Area ⁱ	Public or Private	Collection ³ Point	Collection ⁴ Frequency	Materials ⁵ Collected	Program Management Responsibilities ²		
						Development	Operations	Evaluation
Black Bear Recycling	Planning Area	Private	d	d	A, B, C, D, E, F	5	5	
Wood Island Landfill	Planning Area	Private	d	d	F	5	5	

Additional programs and the above information for those programs are listed on an attached page.

¹ Identified by where the program will be offered. If throughout the planning area, then listed by planning area; if only in specific counties, then listed by county; if only in specific municipalities, then listed by its name and respective county.

² Identified by 1 = Designated Planning Agency; 2 = County Board of Commissioners; 3 = Department of Public Works; 4 = Environmental Group; 5 = Private Owner/Operator; 6 = Other.

³ Identified by c = curbside; d = drop-off; o = onsite; and if other, explained.

⁴ Identified by d = daily; w = weekly; b = biweekly; m = monthly; and if seasonal service also indicated by Sp = Spring; Su = Summer; Fa = Fall; Wi = Winter.

⁵ Identified by the materials collected by listing of the letter located by that material type. A = Plastics; B = Newspaper; C = Corrugated Containers; D = Other Paper; E = Glass; F = Metals; P = Pallets; J = Construction/Demolition; K = Tires.

Selected System

TABLE III-2

COMPOSTING:

Program Name	Service Area ¹	Public or Private	Collection Point ³	Collection Frequency ⁴	Materials Collected ⁵	Program Management Responsibilities ²		
						Development	Operations	Evaluation
Voluntary	Countywide	Private	o	None	G,L,W	6	6	6

Additional programs and the above information for those programs are listed on an attached page.

¹ Identified by where the program will be offered. If throughout the planning area, then listed by planning area; if only in specific counties, then listed by county; if only in specific municipalities, then listed by its name and respective county.

² Identified by 1 = Designated Planning Agency; 2 = County Board of Commissioners; 3 = Department of Public Works; 4 = Environmental Group; 5 = Private Owner/Operator; 6 = Other.

³ Identified by c = curbside; d = drop-off; o = onsite; and if other, explained.

⁴ Identified by d = daily; w = weekly; b = biweekly; m = monthly; and if seasonal service also indicated by Sp = Spring; Su = Summer; Fa = Fall; Wi = Winter.

⁵ Identified by the materials collected by listing of the letter located by that material type. G = Grass Clippings; L = Leaves; F = Food; W = Wood; P = Paper; S = Municipal Sewage Sludge; A = Animal Waste/Bedding; M = Municipal Solid Waste.

TABLE III-3

SOURCE SEPARATION OF POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:

Since improper disposal of non-regulated hazardous materials has the potential to create risks to the environment and human health, the following programs have been implemented to remove these materials from the County's solid wastestream.

Program Name	Service Area ⁱ	Public or Private	Collection ³ Point	Collection ⁴ Frequency	Materials ⁵ Collected	Program Management Responsibilities ²		
						Development	Operations	Evaluation
No local program identified								

Additional programs and the above information for those programs are listed on an attached page.

ⁱ Identified by where the program will be offered. If throughout the planning area, then listed by planning area; if only in specific counties, then listed by county; if only in specific municipalities, then listed by its name and respective county.

² Identified by 1 = Designated Planning Agency; 2 = County Board of Commissioners; 3 = Department of Public Works; 4 = Environmental Group; 5 = Private Owner/Operator; 6 = Other.

³ Identified by c = curbside; d = drop-off; o = onsite; and if other, explained.

⁴ Identified by d = daily; w = weekly; b = biweekly; m = monthly; and if seasonal service also indicated by Sp = Spring; Su = Summer; Fa = Fall; Wi = Winter.

⁵ Identified by the materials collected by listing of the letter located by that material type. AR = Aerosol Cans; A = Automotive Products except Used Oil, Oil Filters & Antifreeze; AN = Antifreeze; B1 = Lead Acid Batteries; B2 = Household Batteries; C = Cleaners and Polishers; H = Hobby and Art Supplies; OF = Used Oil Filters; P = Paints and Solvents; PS = Pesticides and Herbicides; PH = Personal and Health Care Products; U = Used Oil; OT = Other Materials and identified.

Selected System

TABLE III-4

PROPOSED RECYCLING:

Program Name	Service Area ¹	Public or Private	Collection ³ Point	Collection ⁴ Frequency	Materials ⁵ Collected	Program Management Responsibilities ²		
						Development	Operations	Evaluation
No local program identified								

Additional programs and the above information for those programs are listed on an attached page.

¹ Identified by where the program will be offered. If throughout the planning area, then listed by planning area; if only in specific counties, then listed by county; if only in specific municipalities, then listed by its name and respective county.

² Identified by 1 = Designated Planning Agency; 2 = County Board of Commissioners; 3 = Department of Public Works; 4 = Environmental Group; 5 = Private Owner/Operator; 6 = Other.

³ Identified by c = curbside; d = drop-off; o = onsite; and if other, explained.

⁴ Identified by d = daily; w = weekly; b = biweekly; m = monthly; and if seasonal service also indicated by Sp = Spring; Su = Summer; Fa = Fall; Wi = Winter.

⁵ Identified by the materials collected by listing of the letter located by that material type. A = Plastics; B = Newspaper; C = Corrugated Containers; D = Other Paper; E = Glass; F = Metals; P = Pallets; J = Construction/Demolition; K = Tires.

Selected System

TABLE III-5

PROPOSED COMPOSTING:

Program Name	Service Area ⁱ	Public or Private	Collection ³ Point	Collection ⁴ Frequency	Materials ⁵ Collected	Program Management Responsibilities ²		
						Development	Operations	Evaluation
No local program identified								

Additional programs and the above information for those programs are listed on an attached page.

¹ Identified by where the program will be offered. If throughout the planning area, then listed by planning area; if only in specific counties, then listed by county; if only in specific municipalities, then listed by its name and respective county.

² Identified by 1 = Designated Planning Agency; 2 = County Board of Commissioners; 3 = Department of Public Works; 4 = Environmental Group; 5 = Private Owner/Operator; 6 = Other.

³ Identified by c = curbside; d = drop-off; o = onsite; and if other, explained.

⁴ Identified by d = daily; w = weekly; b = biweekly; m = monthly; and if seasonal service also indicated by Sp = Spring; Su = Summer; Fa = Fall; Wi = Winter.

⁵ Identified by the materials collected by listing of the letter located by that material type. G = Grass Clippings; L = Leaves; F = Food; W = Wood; P = Paper; S = Municipal Sewage Sludge; A = Animal Waste/Bedding; M = Municipal Solid Waste.

Selected System

TABLE III-6

PROPOSED SOURCE SEPARATION OF POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:

Program Name	Service Area ¹	Public or Private	Collection ³ Point	Collection ⁴ Frequency	Materials ⁵ Collected	Program Management Responsibilities ²		
						Development	Operations	Evaluation
No local program identified								

Additional programs and the above information for those programs are listed on an attached page.

¹ Identified by where the program will be offered. If throughout the planning area, then listed by planning area; if only in specific counties, then listed by county; if only in specific municipalities, then listed by its name and respective county.

² Identified by 1 = Designated Planning Agency; 2 = County Board of Commissioners; 3 = Department of Public Works; 4 = Environmental Group; 5 = Private Owner/Operator; 6 = Other.

³ Identified by c = curbside; d = drop-off; o = onsite; and if other, explained.

⁴ Identified by d = daily; w = weekly; b = biweekly; m = monthly; and if seasonal service also indicated by Sp = Spring; Su = Summer; Fa = Fall; Wi = Winter.

⁵ Identified by the materials collected by listing of the letter located by that material type. AR = Aerosol Cans; A = Automotive Products except Used Oil, Oil Filters & Antifreeze; AN = Antifreeze; B1 = Lead Acid Batteries; B2 = Household Batteries; C = Cleaners and Polishers; H = Hobby and Art Supplies; OF = Used Oil Filters; P = Paints and Solvents; PS = Pesticides and Herbicides; PH = Personal and Health Care Products; U = Used Oil; OT = Other Materials and identified.

Selected System

IDENTIFICATION OF RESOURCE RECOVERY MANAGEMENT ENTITIES:

The following identifies those public and private parties, and the resource recovery or recycling programs for which they have management responsibilities.

Environmental Groups:

None identified.

Other:

Selected System

PROJECTED DIVERSION RATES:

The following estimates the annual amount of solid waste which is expected to be diverted from landfills and incinerators as a result of the current resource recovery programs and in five and ten years.

<u>Collected Material</u>	<u>Projected Annual Tons Diverted</u>			<u>Collected Material</u>	<u>Projected Annual Tons Diverted</u>		
	Current	5th Yr	10th Yr		Current	5th Yr	10th Yr
A. TOTAL PLASTICS:	<u>15.75</u>	<u>78.75</u>	<u>78.75</u>	G. GRASS AND LEAVES:	_____	_____	_____
B. NEWSPAPER:	<u>(Included in Other Paper)</u>			H. TOTAL WOOD WASTE:	_____	_____	_____
C. CORRUGATED CONTAINERS:	<u>198</u>	<u>990</u>	<u>990</u>	I. CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION:	_____	_____	_____
D. TOTAL OTHER PAPER:	<u>98</u>	<u>490</u>	<u>490</u>	J. FOOD AND FOOD PROCESSING:	_____	_____	_____
E. TOTAL GLASS:	<u>2.75</u>	<u>13.75</u>	<u>13.75</u>	K. TIRES:	_____	_____	_____
F. OTHER MATERIALS:				L. TOTAL METALS:	<u>151.5</u>	<u>757.5</u>	<u>757.5</u>

MARKET AVAILABILITY FOR COLLECTED MATERIALS:

The following identifies how much volume that existing markets are able to utilize of the recovered materials which were diverted from the County's solid waste stream.

<u>Collected Material:</u>	<u>In-State Markets</u>	<u>Out-of-State Markets</u>	<u>Collected Material</u>	<u>In-State Markets</u>	<u>Out-of-State Markets</u>
A. TOTAL PLASTICS:	<u>100%</u>	_____	G. GRASS AND LEAVES:	_____	_____
B. NEWSPAPER:	<u>100%</u>	_____	H. TOTAL WOOD WASTE:	_____	_____
C. CORRUGATED CONTAINERS:	<u>100%</u>	_____	I. CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION:	_____	_____
D. TOTAL OTHER PAPER:	<u>100%</u>	_____	J. FOOD AND FOOD PROCESSING	_____	_____
E. TOTAL GLASS:	<u>100%</u>	_____	K. TIRES:	_____	_____
F. OTHER MATERIALS:			L. TOTAL METALS:	<u>100%</u>	_____

Selected System

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS

It is often necessary to provide educational and informational programs regarding the various components of a solid waste management system before and during its implementation. These programs are offered to avoid miscommunication which results in improper handling of solid waste and to provide assistance to the various entities who participate in such programs as waste reduction and waste recovery. Following is a listing of the programs offered or proposed to be offered in this County.

<u>Program Topic</u> ¹	<u>Delivery Medium</u> ²	<u>Targeted Audience</u> ³	<u>Program Provider</u> ⁴
<u>1</u>	<u>n, f, o</u>	<u>p, b, i</u>	<u>EX, OO (Black Bear Recycling)</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>n, f, o</u>	<u>p, b, i</u>	<u>EX</u>

¹ Identified by 1 = recycling; 2 = composting; 3 = household hazardous waste; 4 = resource conservation; 5 = volume reduction; 6 = other which is explained

² Identified by w = workshop; r = radio; t = television; n = newspaper; o = organizational newsletters; f = flyers; e = exhibits and locations listed; and ot = other which is explained

³ Identified by p = general public; b = business; i = industry; s = students with grade levels listed. In addition if the program is limited to a geographic area, then that county, city, village, etc. is listed

⁴ Identified by EX = MSU Extension; EG = Environmental Group (Identify name); OO = Private Owner/Operator (Identify name); HD = Health Department (Identify name); DPA = Designated Planning Agency; CU = College/University (Identify name); LS = Local School (Identify name); ISD = Intermediate School District (Identify name); O = Other which is explained.

Additional efforts and the above information for those efforts are listed in Appendix E

Selected System

TIMETABLE FOR SELECTED SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

This timetable is a guideline to implement components of the Selected System. The Timeline gives a range of time in which the component will be implemented such as "1995-1999" or "On-going". Timelines may be adjusted later, if necessary.

TABLE III-7

Management Components	Timeline
Recycling Program	Ongoing
Voluntary Composting Program	Ongoing
Educational and Informational Programs	Ongoing

Selected System

SITING REVIEW PROCEDURES

AUTHORIZED DISPOSAL AREA TYPES

The following solid waste disposal area types may not be sited by this Plan. Any proposal to construct a facility listed herein shall be deemed inconsistent with this Plan.

N/A

SITING CRITERIA AND PROCESS

The following process describes the criteria and procedures to be used to site solid waste disposal facilities and determine consistency with this Plan.

- (1) Proposals for all new disposal areas must be found consistent with the criteria contained in this section *before a determination of consistency may be issued.*
- (2) To initiate the review under this Plan, the facility developer shall submit ten copies of the information required below to the Alger County Board of Commissioners (BOC)
- (3) Solid waste facility siting proposals will be reviewed for consistency with the Plan. The BOC may designate or appoint a solid waste planning committee, a technical committee, or a planning agency, as it deems appropriate, to review the solid waste facility proposal for consistency with the Plan according to the procedures outlined herein. In that case, the designated planning agency must be appointed within 30 days of the BOC receiving this application. This chosen body shall make a recommendation to the BOC on the consistency of the proposal; however, it is the responsibility of the BOC to make the decision on whether the proposal is consistent with the County Plan. A proposal that is declared to be consistent with the Plan by the BOC shall become part of the Plan upon issuance of a construction permit by the MDEQ.
- (4) Upon receipt of the application from the BOC, the designated planning agency shall have 30 days to review the application for administrative completeness in accordance with the requirements listed in subparts (i)-(vii) below. If it is not complete, the developer shall be notified and given an opportunity to provide additional information to make the application complete. The developer has 30 working days to provide the requested information. If no determination is made within 30 working days after the reception of the additional information by the designated planning agency, the application shall be considered administratively complete.
 - (i) The application shall include a name, address, and telephone number for: (1) the applicant (including partners and other ownership interests), (2) the property owner(s) of the site, (3) any consulting engineers and geologists that will be involved in the project, (4) a designated contact person for the facility developer (if different than the applicant), and (5) shall specify the type of facility being proposed.
 - (ii) *The application shall contain information on the site location and orientation. This shall include a legal land description of the project area, a site map showing all*

Selected System

roadways and principal land features within two miles of the site, a topographic map with contour intervals of no more than ten feet for the site, a map and description of all access roads showing their location, type of surface material, proposed access point to facility, haul route from access roads to nearest state trunk line, and a current map showing the proposed site and surrounding zoning, domiciles, and present usage of all property within one mile of the site.

- (iii) The application shall contain a map showing the locations of any permitted oil and gas wells drilled in the section containing the proposed landfill site and in adjacent sections and their current status. The plugging records of any permitted oil and gas wells located on the proposed landfill site shall also be included with the application.
 - (iv) The application shall contain a description of the current site use and ground cover, a map showing the locations of all structures within 1,200 feet of the perimeter of the site, the location of all existing utilities, the location of the 100-year floodplain as defined by R 323.1311 of the administrative rules of Part 31, Water Resources Protection, of the NREPA, within 1,200 feet of the site, location of all wetlands as defined by Part 303, Wetlands Protection, of the NREPA within 1,200 feet of the site, and the site soil types and general geological characteristics.
 - (v) The application shall contain a description of the proposed site and facility design. This shall consist of a written proposal including the final design capacity.
 - (vi) The application shall contain a description of the operations of the facility and shall provide information indicating the planned annual usage, anticipated sources of solid waste, and the facility life expectancy.
 - (vii) If necessary to satisfy the requirements of criteria xiii, a signed statement indicating the willingness of the developer to provide for road improvements and/or maintenance.
- (5) Within 45 days from the date the application is determined to be administratively complete, the designated planning agency shall complete the consistency review and make their recommendations to the BOC who shall send the County's written final determination of consistency for the proposal to the applicant. To be found consistent with the Plan, a proposed solid waste disposal area must comply with all the siting criteria and requirements described in subparts (i)-(xiii) below

As provided by Section 11537a of Part 115, if Alger County has 66 months of disposal capacity available for all waste generated in the County, the BOC may, at its discretion, refuse to allow this siting procedure to be used.

Siting Criteria

- (i) The active work area for a new facility or expansion of an existing facility shall not be located closer than 500 feet from adjacent property lines, road rights-of-way, lakes, and perennial streams.
- (ii) The active work area for a new facility or expansion of an existing facility shall not be located closer than 1,000 feet from domiciles or public schools existing at the time of submission of the application.
- (iii) A new, previously unlicensed sanitary landfill shall not be constructed within 10,000 feet of a licensed airport runway. This restriction does not apply to expansions of existing sanitary landfills.
- (iv) A facility shall not be located in a 100-year floodplain as defined by R 323.1311 of the administrative rules of Part 31
- (v) A facility shall not be located in a wetland regulated by Part 303, Wetlands Protection, of the NREPA, unless a permit is issued
- (vi) A facility shall not be constructed in lands enrolled under Part 361, Farmland and Open Space Preservation, of the NREPA.
- (vii) A facility shall not be located in a sensitive environmental area as defined in Part 323, Shorelands Protection and Management, of the NREPA, or in areas of unique habitat as defined by the Department of Natural Resources, Natural Features Inventory.
- (viii) A facility shall not be located in an area of groundwater recharge as defined by the United States Geological Survey or in a wellhead protection area as approved by the MDEQ.
- (ix) A facility shall not be located in a designated historic or archaeological area defined by the state historical preservation officer.
- (x) A facility shall not be located or permitted to expand on land owned by the United States of America or the state of Michigan. Disposal areas may be located on state land only if both of the following conditions are met:
 - a) Thorough investigation and evaluation of the proposed site by the facility developer indicates, to the satisfaction of the MDEQ, that the site is suitable for such use.
 - b) The state determines that the land may be released for landfill purposes and the facility developer acquires the property in fee title from the state in accordance with state requirements for such acquisition.

Selected System

- (xi) Facilities may only be located on property zoned as agricultural, industrial, or commercial at the time the facility developer applies to the County for a determination of consistency under the Plan. Facilities may be located on unzoned property, but may not be located on property zoned residential.
 - (xii) The owner and operator of a facility shall sign a statement agreeing to cooperate with the County on all current and future recycling and composting activities.
 - (xiii) A facility shall be located on a paved, all weather "Class A" road. If a facility is not on such a road, the developer shall sign a statement agreeing to provide for upgrading and/or maintenance of the road serving the facility
- (6) If the facility developer does not agree with the consistency decision by the BOC or if no consistency determination has been rendered within 45 working days, the developer may request the MDEQ to determine consistency of the proposal with the Plan as part of MDEQ review of a construction permit application.
 - (7) If the proposal is found to be inconsistent with the Plan, the facility developer may provide additional information to address the identified deficiencies. The facility developer has 30 days to submit the additional information to the designated planning agency. The designated planning agency may only determine consistency and make its recommendation to the BOC on such a resubmittal in regards to the criteria originally found deficient.
 - (8) After the additional information provided by the developer to address identified deficiencies has been submitted to the designated planning agency, the agency has 30 days to make a recommendation to the BOC. Upon receiving the designated planning agency's recommendation, the BOC must determine whether the corrected proposal is consistent with the Plan. If the facility developer does not agree with the consistency decision by the BOC or if no consistency determination has been rendered within 45 working days, the developer may request the MDEQ to determine consistency of the proposal with the Plan as part of MDEQ review of a construction permit application
 - (9) The final determination of consistency with the Plan shall be made by the MDEQ upon submittal by the developer of an application for a construction permit. The MDEQ shall review the determination made by the BOC to ensure that the criteria and review procedures have been properly adhered to by the County.

Selected System

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPONENTS¹

The following identifies the management responsibilities and institutional arrangements necessary for the implementation of the Selected System. Also included is a description of the technical, administrative, financial, and legal capabilities of each identified existing structure of persons, municipalities, counties, state, and federal agencies responsible for solid waste management including planning, implementation, and enforcement.

The BOC shall be responsible for the implementation and enforcement of the Plan. The BOC may designate an agency or committee to provide oversight to ensure initiation of the public education program, the operation of recycling and composting programs, the review of the administrative completeness of siting applications and the consistency of solid waste siting proposals, but the ultimate decision making rests with the BOC.

The BOC may take such actions as needed to provide funding for the implementation and enforcement of the Plan including, but not limited to, applying for federal, state, and foundation grants, or using other funding sources that may be available, such as the levy of fees, surcharges, or a special millage. The BOC is also responsible for any legislative actions that may be necessary to accomplish the goals of the Plan that do not conflict with federal or state statutes.

The Central Upper Peninsula Planning & Development Regional Commission (CUPPAD) will provide assistance for solid waste planning for the BOC, as funds are available. The CUPPAD will assist with grant writing upon request, will continue to promote regional coordination with recycling and other resource recovery efforts, and will continue to keep the BOC updated on regional and statewide solid waste issues.

¹ Components or subcomponents may be added to this table.

Selected System

IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

Document which entities within the County will have management responsibilities over the following areas of the Plan.

Resource Conservation:

Source or Waste Reduction - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Product Reuse - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Reduced Material Volume - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Increased Product Lifetime - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Decreased Consumption - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Resource Recovery Programs:

Composting - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Recycling - Voluntary by the waste generator.

Energy Production - None.

Volume Reduction Techniques:

Performed by the waste hauler and by the landfill operator during, and as part of, the collection, transportation, and disposal of solid waste.

Collection Processes:

Private waste haulers (listed on page II-6) through agreements with residents, businesses, industries, and governmental bodies.

Transportation:

Provided by the waste haulers.

Selected System

Disposal Areas:

Processing Plants - None.

Incineration - None.

Transfer Stations - Waste Management of Michigan/Munising, Alger County.

Sanitary Landfills - Wood Island Landfill, Alger County.
Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill, Menominee County.

Ultimate Disposal Area Uses:

The responsibility for determining the ultimate use that a closed disposal area will have rests with the above landfill owners and operators.

Local Responsibility for Plan Update Monitoring and Enforcement:

Alger County Board of Commissioners

Educational and Informational Programs:

Michigan State University Extension office.

Documentation of acceptance of responsibilities is contained in Appendix D.

Selected System

LOCAL ORDINANCES AND REGULATIONS AFFECTING SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

This Plan update's relationship to local ordinances and regulations within the County is described in the option(s) marked below:

- 1. Section 323 11538 (8) and Rule 710 (3) of Part 115 prohibits enforcement of all County and local ordinances and regulations pertaining to solid waste disposal areas unless explicitly included in an approved Solid Waste Management Plan. Local regulations and ordinances intended to be part of this Plan must be specified below and the manner in which they will be applied described.

N/A

- 2. This Plan recognizes and incorporates as enforceable the following specific provisions based on existing zoning ordinances:

N/A

- 3. This Plan authorizes adoption and implementation of local regulations governing the following subjects by the indicated units of government without further authorization from or amendment to the Plan.

N/A

- Additional listings are on attached pages.

CAPACITY CERTIFICATIONS

Every County with less than ten years of capacity identified in their Plan is required to annually prepare and submit to the MDEQ an analysis and certification of solid waste disposal capacity validly available to the County. This certification is required to be prepared and approved by the County Board of Commissioners.

- This County has more than ten years capacity identified in this Plan and an annual certification process is not included in this Plan.
- Ten years of disposal capacity has not been identified in this Plan. The County will annually submit capacity certifications to the MDEQ by June 30 of each year on the form provided by MDEQ. The County's process for determination of annual capacity and submission of the County's capacity certification is as follows:

The estimated total solid waste needing disposal for the County for the next ten-year period is 133,890 tons based on the figures presented on page II-1 (five-years at 13,239 tons/yr., plus five-years at 14,417 tons/yr., minus 446 tons of recycled materials diverted from the landfills for each of the ten years). Over that same ten-year period, the total airspace available to the County from the two landfills authorized to accept the County's waste is 1,604,333 tons (271,000 tons at the Wood Island Landfill and 1,333,333 tons at the Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill).

APPENDIX A

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

REGARDING THE

SELECTED

SYSTEM

EVALUATION OF RECYCLING

The following provides additional information regarding implementation and evaluations of various components of the Selected System.

Information regarding implementation and evaluations of various components of the Selected System have previously been addressed in the body of the Plan.

DETAILED FEATURES OF RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING PROGRAMS

List below the types and volumes of material available for recycling or composting.

See Tables III-1 and III-2.

See page III-23, Projected Diversion Rates.

The following briefly describes the processes used or to be used to select the equipment and locations of the recycling and composting programs included in the Selected System. Difficulties encountered during past selection processes are also summarized along with how those problems were addressed:

Equipment Selection

Existing Programs: Black Bear Recycling uses recycling drop-off containers and Wood Island Landfill uses roll-off bins

Proposed Programs: None.

Site Availability & Selection

Existing Programs: The County encourages a volunteer program of recycling and composting. Recycling containers are used at Black Bear Recycling center located in the City of Munising and Wood Island Landfill uses roll-off bins to collect scrap metals in Wetmore.

Proposed Programs: None.

Composting Operating Parameters

The following identifies some of the operating parameters which are to be used or are planned to be used to monitor the composting programs

Existing Programs:

The existing program is voluntary, uncoordinated, and unsupervised. Therefore, the operating parameters are not available.

<u>Program Name:</u>	<u>pH Range</u>	<u>Heat Range</u>	<u>Other Parameter</u>	<u>Measurement Unit</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Proposed Programs:

<u>Program Name</u>	<u>pH Range</u>	<u>Heat Range</u>	<u>Other Parameter</u>	<u>Measurement Unit</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

COORDINATION EFFORTS

Plans need to be developed and implemented with due regard for both local conditions and the state and federal regulatory framework for protecting public health and the quality of the air, water, and land. The following states the ways in which coordination will be achieved to minimize potential conflicts with other programs and, if possible, to enhance those programs

It may be necessary to enter into various types of agreements between public and private sectors to be able to implement the various components of this Solid Waste Management System. The known existing arrangements are described below which are considered necessary to successfully implement this system within the County. In addition, proposed arrangements are recommended which address any discrepancies that the existing arrangements may have created or overlooked. Since arrangements may exist between two or more private parties that are not public knowledge, this section may not be comprehensive of all the arrangements within the County. Additionally, it may be necessary to cancel or enter into new or revised arrangements as conditions change during the planning period. The entities responsible for developing, approving, and enforcing these arrangements are also noted.

The BOC will coordinate the implementation, operation, and enforcement of the Solid Waste Management Plan with federal, state and local municipalities, agencies, organizations, and commissions. This will be done by the BOC through its staff, appointed committees, or various departments of County government at its disposal.

COSTS AND FUNDING

The following chart estimates the necessary management, capital, and operational and maintenance requirements for each applicable component of the Solid Waste Management System. In addition, potential funding sources have been identified to support those components.

System Component¹	Estimated Costs	Potential Funding Sources
<u>Resource Conservation Efforts</u>	None	None
<u>Resource Recovery Programs</u>	None	None
<u>Volume Reduction Techniques</u>	None	None
<u>Collection Processes</u>	Determined by market forces	Fees
<u>Transportation</u>	Determined by market forces	Fees
<u>Disposal Areas</u>	Determined by market forces	Fees
<u>Future Disposal Area Uses</u>	Determined by market forces	Fees
<u>Management Arrangements</u>	Determined by market forces	Fees
<u>Educational & Informational Programs</u>	Unknown	Unknown

¹ These components and their sub-components may vary with each system.

EVALUATION SUMMARY OF THE SELECTED SYSTEM

The Solid Waste Management System has been evaluated for anticipated positive and negative impacts on the public health, economics, environmental conditions, siting considerations, existing disposal areas, and energy consumption and production, which would occur as a result of implementing this Selected System. In addition, the Selected System was evaluated to determine if it would be technically and economically feasible, whether the public would accept this Selected System, and the effectiveness of the educational and informational programs. Impacts to the resource recovery programs created by the Solid Waste Collection System, local support groups, institutional arrangements, and the population in the County in addition to market availability for the collected materials and the transportation network were also considered. Impediments to implementing the Solid Waste Management System are identified and proposed activities which will help overcome those problems are also addressed to ensure successful programs. The Selected System was also evaluated as to how it relates to the Michigan Solid Waste Policy goals. The following summarizes the findings of this evaluation and the basis for selecting this system:

A review of the elements of this alternative as outlined in this Plan indicates that Alternative I has been chosen as the selected system because it best meets the solid waste disposal needs of the County for the next five year period. The rural nature of the County and the relatively small, scattered population make a comprehensive waste management program, including elements of resource conservation, resource recovery, composting and source separation of hazardous materials, difficult to implement. However, it is in the County's interest to continue to work toward Michigan's Solid Waste Policy general goal of reducing the state's reliance on landfills as the primary means of solid waste disposal by reducing its own dependence on sanitary landfills. The County can increase recycling, composting, the collection of household hazardous waste, and institute resource conservation through education of its residents and the efforts of concerned citizens using agencies, such as the Michigan State University Extension office.

As the County chose not to prepare this Plan, determination and evaluation of local needs, resources, and program alternatives were the responsibility of the MDEQ. Few local program opportunities were identified to the MDEQ for inclusion in this Plan. Given that the MDEQ cannot mandate, establish, or finance local programs, the available scope of waste management alternatives for the MDEQ to include and evaluate as part of this Plan is limited.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF THE SELECTED SYSTEM

Each Solid Waste Management System has pros and cons relating to its implementation within the County. Following is an outline of the major advantages and disadvantages for this Selected System

ADVANTAGES:

1. Technically Feasible.
2. Economically Feasible.
3. Adequate Transportation Network
4. Sufficient Disposal Capacity.
5. Minimum Environmental Impact.
6. High Public Acceptability.
7. Recycling Options Available.

DISADVANTAGES:

1. Reliance on Voluntary Recycling and Composting Programs.
2. No Resource Recovery Program.
3. No Household Hazardous Waste Collection.
4. No Energy Conservation or Generation.
5. Passive Pollution Prevention Procedures.
6. Minimal Recycling Program Available.

APPENDIX B

NON-SELECTED

SYSTEMS

Before selecting the Solid Waste Management System contained within this Plan update, the County developed and considered other alternative systems. The details of the non-selected systems are available for review in the County's repository. The following section provides a brief description of these non-selected systems and an explanation why they were not selected.

Non-Selected System

NON-SELECTED SYSTEMS

SYSTEM COMPONENTS:

The following briefly describes the various components of the non-selected system.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION EFFORTS:

The County does not intend to institute any resource conservation programs.

VOLUME REDUCTION TECHNIQUES:

The only volume reduction done to the wastestream would occur during collection in the waste hauling trucks and by mobile compactors at the landfills.

RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAMS:

The County would require recycling and composting. Recycling containers would be provided at various locations throughout the County.

COLLECTION PROCESSES:

The County would require the collection of solid waste, recycled materials, and yard waste. The County would regulate the trucking of these items by private waste collection and hauling companies through the County Waste Management Department.

TRANSPORTATION:

The County has enough primary and secondary paved and unpaved roads to facilitate the collection and hauling of solid waste to the Wood Island and Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfills. County Class A roads and state highways permit transportation of the solid waste accumulated to in and out-of-county landfills. This situation will not change for the next five and ten-year periods. (See the Alger County road map in the Attachment Section).

DISPOSAL AREAS:

Type II Landfill:

Wood Island Landfill
Waste Management Michigan Environs Landfill

Type B Transfer Facility:

Waste Management of Michigan/Munising

Non-Selected System

INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS:

The County would require that all County residents and businesses participate in solid waste collection services, recycling, composting, and household hazardous waste collection programs. Private waste haulers would collect and transport the solid waste to landfills, and the recycled materials to a MRF, under the regulations and rules enforced by the designated County Waste Management Department.

EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS:

The County Waste Management Agency would create and implement educational and informational programs that would inform every resident, organization, and business in the County of the format of the mandated solid waste system, its goals, and the advantages to the environment. This may take the form of radio advertisements, newspaper articles, brochures, visits to schools, seminars, and outdoor advertising. The costs would be significant.

CAPITAL, OPERATIONAL, AND MAINTENANCE COSTS:

The costs of a mandated Solid Waste Management System, including establishing a County agency to oversee the regulation of waste haulers, the enforcement of solid waste collection, the supervision of mandatory recycling and composting programs, the collection of fees, the operation of educational programs, and the implementation of household hazardous waste collection is difficult to estimate.

Non-Selected System

EVALUATION SUMMARY OF NON-SELECTED SYSTEM

The non-selected system was evaluated to determine its potential of impacting human health, economics, environmental, transportation, siting, and energy resources of the County. In addition, it was reviewed for technical feasibility, and whether it would have public support. Following is a brief summary of that evaluation along with an explanation why this system was not chosen to be implemented.

Alternative II was not chosen as the selected Solid Waste Management System because it is not clear if it is economically feasible for the County to fund the cost of establishing and supporting a County agency to implement, operate, and enforce a Plan which mandated solid waste collection, recycling, composting, and regular household hazardous waste collection. The mechanism for funding such a system, particularly through fees or a millage, would be difficult to justify to the County residents. Therefore, public support is hard to gauge.

Additionally, the MDEQ cannot mandate establishment of local programs and, without local identification of programs to include in the Plan, we cannot select this alternative as the Selected System.

Non-Selected System

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF THE NON-SELECTED SYSTEM

Each Solid Waste Management System has pros and cons relating to its implementation within the County. Following is a summary of the major advantages and disadvantages for this non-selected system.

ADVANTAGES:

1. Low environmental impact
2. Technically feasible.
3. Adequate transportation network.
4. Household hazardous waste collection.
5. Sufficient disposal capacity
6. Mandatory recycling and composting programs.

DISADVANTAGES:

1. High cost – may not be economically feasible.
2. Lack of public support.
3. No resource recovery program.
4. No energy conservation or generation.
5. The MDEQ cannot mandate local programs.

APPENDIX C

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

AND APPROVAL

The following summarizes the processes which were used in the development and local approval of the Plan including a summary of public participation in those processes, documentation of each of the required approval steps, and a description of the appointment of the solid waste management planning committee along with the members of that committee.

Public Participation

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

A description of the process used, including dates of public meetings, copies of public notices, documentation of approval from solid waste planning committee, County Board of Commissioners, and municipalities.

Public Participation

PLANNING COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT PROCEDURE

The Plan was written by the MDEQ under Section 323.11533(6) of Part 115. Therefore, the appointment of a planning committee was not required.

APPENDIX D

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The following paragraph discusses how the County intends to implement the Plan and provides documentation of acceptance of responsibilities from all entities that will be performing a role in the Plan

The BOC shall be responsible for the implementation and enforcement of the Plan. The BOC may designate an agency or committee to provide oversight to ensure initiation of the public education program, the operation of recycling and composting programs, the review of the administrative completeness of siting applications, and the consistency of solid waste siting proposals, but the ultimate decision making rests with the BOC.

The BOC may take such actions as needed to provide funding for the implementation and enforcement of the Plan including, but not limited to, applying for federal, state, and foundation grants, or using other funding sources that may be available, such as the levy of fees, surcharges, or a special millage. The BOC is also responsible for any legislative actions that may be necessary to accomplish the goals of the Plan that do not conflict with federal or state statutes.

RESOLUTIONS

The following are resolutions from County Board of Commissioners approving municipality's request to be included in an adjacent County's Plan

N/A

LISTED CAPACITY

Documentation from landfills that the County has access to their listed capacity.

WOOD ISLAND Sanitary Landfill

Corporate Office
P.O. Box 2002
Kingsford, MI 49802
906-774-9006



Landfill Office
M-28 East, P.O. Box 165
Wetmore, MI 49894
906-387-2646

Mr. Matt Staron
MDEQ Waste Management Div.
P.O. Box 30241
Lansing, MI. 48909-7741

Re: Alger County Solid Waste Plan

Dear Matt,


Enclosed please find the information you requested.

The permitted capacity of Wood Island Landfill is 1,450,570 cubic yards. As of September 1999 466,619 yards of air space was used.

We estimate the remaining to be 10 to 15 years depending on yearly tonnage.

We are requesting that Wood Island Landfill be listed as a primary disposal site for all Upper Peninsula counties. Please send me a list of county plans that you are working on so that I may contact the chair person.

Respectfully,


General Manager

CUPPAD

REGIONAL COMMISSION

2415 14th Avenue South Escanaba, Michigan 49829-1197
(906) 786-9234 FAX (906) 786-4442

SEP 10 1998

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

Waste Management
Division

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNTY REPRESENTED

Chairperson	L. Benson Menominee
Vice-Chairperson	G. Anderson Marquette
Secretary	G. Corkin Marquette
Treasurer	T. Aho Alger
Director	Ernest Hoholik Schoolcraft
Director	R. Good, Delta
Director	M. Minnerick Dickinson
Director	P. Groleau, Menominee
Past-Chairperson	D. Olson Dickinson
Executive Director	David C. Gillis

September 3, 1998

Ms. Melinda Keillor
Baraga Co. Solid Waste Plng. Committee
P.O. Box 30241
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Melinda:

The Menominee County Solid Waste Planning Committee is in the process of updating its county solid waste plan. As you know, in order for waste to be imported into or exported from a county in Michigan, it must be authorized in both the importing and exporting county.

The Committee has agreed that the following language will be included in the county solid waste plan:

The Menominee County Solid Waste Plan will authorize the **exportation** of up to 100% of waste from Menominee County to the following counties: Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Ontonagon and Schoolcraft Counties for primary disposal.

The Menominee County Solid Waste Plan will authorize the **importation** of up to 100% of waste from Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Ontonagon and Schoolcraft Counties for primary disposal. Acceptance of out-of-county waste is subject to the following conditions:

- For counties that have any existing Type II landfill, the exporting county's solid waste plan must authorize the importation of Menominee County waste for disposal.
- For counties that presently do not have a Type II landfill, the exporting county must authorize the importation of Menominee County wastes for disposal and 2) that County Solid Waste Plan must provide for a siting criteria for a Type II landfill within the county.



*"Some men see things as they are and say WHY,
we dream things that never were and say WHY NOT."*
— George Bernard Shaw

Page Two

- The landfill facility has the right to agree to accept or reject the out-of-county wastes and is responsible for establishing the waste disposal fees.

As you continue to proceed through the solid waste plan update process, the Menominee County Solid Waste Planning Committee requests that if Menominee County is listed as a waste disposal option in your County Solid Waste Plan, that the reciprocity of waste from both counties be identified. The Committee wants to make sure that there is a reciprocal flow of wastes from counties that may possibly use or are using Menominee County as a waste disposal option.

Should you have any concerns or comments, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Peter Van Steen". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "P".

Peter Van Steen
Senior Planner

pvs:bbs

cc: Nancy Douglas

CUPPAD

REGIONAL COMMISSION

2415 14th Avenue South Escanaba, Michigan 49829-1197
(906) 786-9234 FAX (906) 786-4442

June 1, 1998

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNTY REPRESENTED

Chairperson	L. Benson Menominee
Vice-Chairperson	G. Anderson, Marquette
Secretary	G. Corkin, Marquette
Treasurer	T. Aho Alger
Director	Ernest Hoholik Schoolcraft
Director	R. Good, Delta
Director	M. Minnerick, Dickinson
Director	P. Groleau, Menominee
Past-Chairperson	D. Olson, Dickinson
Executive Director	David C. Gillis

Mr. Kim Stoker
Western UP Planning and
Development Region
PO Box 365
Houghton, MI 49931

Dear Mr. Stoker:

The County of Delta, as are most counties in the state, is in the process of updating its County Solid Waste Plan. As part of the process, consideration is being given to waste import and export provisions. As you know, for the inter-county flow of waste to take place, both the importing and exporting counties must include each other in their respective plans.

At this time, the Delta County Solid Waste Plan will authorize the **exportation** of up to 100% of waste from Delta County to the following counties: Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Ontonagon and Schoolcraft Counties for primary disposal. The exportation of wastes to an out-of-county solid waste facility is authorized provided it meets the following conditions:

- Wastes exported to other counties for disposal shall be permitted when there is a discontinuance of landfill operations, or the solid waste first is processed or handled at a transfer station owned and operated by the Delta Landfill Authority, or the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority is unable to take the waste for disposal at the Delta Landfill.
- Wastes generated by Mead Paper Publishing Division to an out-of-county solid waste facility would not need the approval of the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority.
- The exportation of wastes to other counties is subject to provisions as contained in the importing county's solid waste plan.
- The County Plan does not require formal reciprocal or other agreements between landfills or local units of government for the exportation of waste.

The Delta County Solid Waste Plan will authorize the **importation** of up to 100% of waste from Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Ontonagon and Schoolcraft Counties for primary disposal. Out-of-county wastes for disposal



*"Some men see things as they are and say WHY,
we dream things that never were and say WHY NOT."
— George Bernard Shaw*

at the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority landfill is authorized provided it meets the following conditions:

- The Delta Solid Waste Management Authority, as owner of the landfill facility, must agree to accept the out-of-county wastes and is responsible for establishing the waste disposal fees.
- A maximum of 24,000 tons a year of out-of-county waste shall be imported into Delta County for disposal at the Delta Landfill.
- The importation of wastes from other counties is subject to provisions as contained in the exporting county's solid waste plan.
- The County Plan does not require formal reciprocal or other agreements between landfills or local units of government for the importation of waste.
- The Delta Solid Waste Management Authority retains the option of contracting with outside agencies for acceptance of waste when it is in the best financial interest of the Authority, and offers no risk to the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the Delta County, and further that the best financial interests of the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority be defined as that airspace is sold at a rate higher than the rates for Delta County residents and such sale does not preclude routine or emergency waste disposal by any County resident or agency.

On behalf of the Delta Solid Waste Planning Committee, we request the inclusion of Delta County as an "exporting county" for primary disposal in your plan update. As you may be aware, Delta County has a publicly owned and managed landfill with a life capacity of about 28 years. There are no plans to cease landfill operations, but the county wishes to maintain viable landfill options. Even if your county does not have a landfill at present, perhaps some day a landfill, transfer station or waste processing facility may be constructed that could be utilized by Delta County.

As you proceed through the update process, you may wish to include Delta County as a possible disposal site, subject to the provisions contained above.

Should you have any questions or comments, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Peter Van Steen
Senior Planner

pvs:bbs

cc: Melinda Keillor
Tom Vitito

CUPPAD

REGIONAL COMMISSION

2415 14th Avenue South Escanaba, Michigan 49829-1197
(906) 786-9234 FAX (906) 786-4442

June 1, 1998

Mr. William Whippen
Alger Co. Solid Waste
Advisory Commission
P.O. Box 336
Munising, MI 49862

Dear Mr. Whippen:

The County of Delta, as are most counties in the state, is in the process of updating its County Solid Waste Plan. As part of the process, consideration is being given to waste import and export provisions. As you know, for the inter-county flow of waste to take place, both the importing and exporting counties must include each other in their respective plans.

At this time, the Delta County Solid Waste Plan will authorize the **exportation** of up to 100% of waste from Delta County to the following counties: Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Ontonagon and Schoolcraft Counties for primary disposal. The exportation of wastes to an out-of-county solid waste facility is authorized provided it meets the following conditions:

- Wastes exported to other counties for disposal shall be permitted when there is a discontinuance of landfill operations, or the solid waste first is processed or handled at a transfer station owned and operated by the Delta Landfill Authority, or the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority is unable to take the waste for disposal at the Delta Landfill.
- Wastes generated by Mead Paper Publishing Division to an out-of-county solid waste facility would not need the approval of the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority.
- The exportation of wastes to other counties is subject to provisions as contained in the importing county's solid waste plan.
- The County Plan does not require formal reciprocal or other agreements between landfills or local units of government for the exportation of waste.

The Delta County Solid Waste Plan will authorize the **importation** of up to 100% of waste from Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Ontonagon and Schoolcraft Counties for primary disposal. Out-of-county wastes for disposal

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNTY REPRESENTED

Chairperson	L. Benson, Menominee
Vice-Chairperson	G. Anderson, Marquette
Secretary	G. Corkin, Marquette
Treasurer	T. Aho, Alger
Director	Ernest Hoholik, Schoolcraft
Director	R. Good, Delta
Director	M. Minnerick, Dickinson
Director	P. Groleau, Menominee
Past-Chairperson	D. Olson, Dickinson
Executive Director	David C. Gillis

RECEIVED

JUN 04 1998

Waste Management
Division



"Some men see things as they are and say WHY,
we dream things that never were and say WHY NOT."
— George Bernard Shaw

at the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority landfill is authorized provided it meets the following conditions:

- The Delta Solid Waste Management Authority, as owner of the landfill facility, must agree to accept the out-of-county wastes and is responsible for establishing the waste disposal fees.
- A maximum of 24,000 tons a year of out-of-county waste shall be imported into Delta County for disposal at the Delta Landfill.
- The importation of wastes from other counties is subject to provisions as contained in the exporting county's solid waste plan.
- The County Plan does not require formal reciprocal or other agreements between landfills or local units of government for the importation of waste.
- The Delta Solid Waste Management Authority retains the option of contracting with outside agencies for acceptance of waste when it is in the best financial interest of the Authority, and offers no risk to the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the Delta County, and further that the best financial interests of the Delta Solid Waste Management Authority be defined as that airspace is sold at a rate higher than the rates for Delta County residents and such sale does not preclude routine or emergency waste disposal by any County resident or agency.

On behalf of the Delta Solid Waste Planning Committee, we request the inclusion of Delta County as an "exporting county" for primary disposal in your plan update. As you may be aware, Delta County has a publicly owned and managed landfill with a life capacity of about 28 years . There are no plans to cease landfill operations, but the county wishes to maintain viable landfill options. Even if your county does not have a landfill at present, perhaps some day a landfill, transfer station or waste processing facility may be constructed that could be utilized by Delta County.

As you proceed through the update process, you may wish to include Delta County as a possible disposal site, subject to the provisions contained above.

Should you have any questions or comments, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Peter Van Steen
Senior Planner

pvs:bbs

cc: Melinda Keillor
Tom Vitito

CUPPAD

REGIONAL COMMISSION

2415 14th Avenue South Escanaba, Michigan 49829-1197
(906) 786-9234 FAX (906) 786-4442

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNTY REPRESENTED

Chairperson	L. Benson Menominee
Vice-Chairperson	G. Anderson, Marquette
Secretary	G. Corkin Marquette
Treasurer	T. Aho, Alger
Director	Ernest Hchoiik, Schoolcraft
Director	R. Good, Delta
Director	M. Minnerick, Dickinson
Director	P. Groleau, Menominee
Past-Chairperson	D. Olson, Dickinson
Executive Director	David C. Gillis

June 4, 1998

Mr. William Whippen
Alger Co. Solid Waste Advisory Comm.
PO Box 336
Munising, MI 49862

Dear Mr. Whippen:

The County of Schoolcraft, as are most counties in the state, is in the process of updating its county solid waste plan. As part of the process, consideration is being given to waste import and export provisions. As you know, for the inter-county transfer of waste to take place, both the importing and exporting counties must include each other in their respective solid waste plans.

As this time, Schoolcraft County does not have a landfill and must rely on exporting its waste to facilities in Alger, Chippewa and Menominee County. The County Solid Waste Plan Update will continue with exporting waste to landfills located in the Upper Peninsula. **One behalf of the Schoolcraft County Solid Waste Planning Committee, we request authorization to export up to 100% of Schoolcraft County waste into Alger County for primary disposal.**

A local developer is proposing the construction of a new landfill in the Gulliver area. The landfill will be deemed consistent with the Updated Solid Waste Plan. There is no definite time as when the landfill will be under construction and operating as the developer is currently in discussions with the DEQ for the permitting process.

Once the landfill is constructed and operational, the Schoolcraft County Plan will authorize the importation of wastes from the following counties: Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, and Ontonagon, provided your county plan authorizes the importation of Schoolcraft County waste for disposal purposes. **On behalf of the Schoolcraft County Solid Waste Planning Committee, we request your plan indicate that waste be authorized to be exported to Schoolcraft County and Schoolcraft County waste be imported into your county.**

Should you have any questions or comments, please feel free to give me a call.

Sincerely,



Peter Van Steen
Senior Planner

cc: William Bowman, chair
Melinda Keillor, DEQ



*"Some men see things as they are and say WHY,
we dream things that never were and say WHY NOT."
- George Bernard Shaw*

CUPPAD

REGIONAL COMMISSION

2415 14th Avenue South Escanaba, Michigan 49829-1197
(906) 786-9234 FAX (906) 786-4442

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNTY REPRESENTED

Chairperson	L. Benson Menominee
Vice-Chairperson	G. Anderson Marquette
Secretary	G. Corkin Marquette
Treasurer	T. Aho Alger
Director	Ernest Hohoik Schoolcraft
Director	R. Good, Delta
Director	M. Minnerick Dickinson
Director	P. Groleau, Menominee
Past-Chairperson	D. Olson Dickinson
Executive Director	David C. Gillis

June 4, 1998

Mr. Kim Stoker
Western Region
Box 365
Houghton, MI 49931

Dear Mr. Stoker:

The County of Schoolcraft, as are most counties in the state, is in the process of updating its county solid waste plan. As part of the process, consideration is being given to waste import and export provisions. As you know, for the inter-county transfer of waste to take place, both the importing and exporting counties must include each other in their respective solid waste plans.


As this time, Schoolcraft County does not have a landfill and must rely on exporting its waste to facilities in Alger, Chippewa and Menominee County. The County Solid Waste Plan Update will continue with exporting waste to landfills located in the Upper Peninsula.

A local developer is proposing the construction of a new landfill in the Gulliver area. The landfill will be deemed consistent with the Updated Solid Waste Plan. There is no definite time as when the landfill will be under construction and operating as the developer is currently in discussions with the DEQ for the permitting process.

Once the landfill is constructed and operational, the Schoolcraft County Plan will authorize the importation of wastes from the following counties: Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, and Ontonagon, provided your county plan authorizes the importation of Schoolcraft County waste for disposal purposes. **On behalf of the Schoolcraft County Solid Waste Planning Committee, we request your plan indicate that waste be authorized to be exported to Schoolcraft County and Schoolcraft County waste be imported into your county.**

Should you have any questions or comments, please feel free to give me a call.

Sincerely,



Peter Van Steen
Senior Planner

cc: William Bowman, chair
Melinda Keillor, DEQ



*"Some men see things as they are and say WHY,
we dream things that never were and say WHY NOT."*
— George Bernard Shaw

CUPPAD

REGIONAL COMMISSION

2415 14th Avenue South Escanaba, Michigan 49829-1197
(906) 786-9234 FAX (906) 786-4442

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNTY REPRESENTED

Chairperson	L Benson, Menominee
Vice-Chairperson	G Anderson Marquette
Secretary	G Corkin, Marquette
Treasurer	T. Aho, Alger
Director	Ernest Hoholik, Schoolcraft
Director	R. Good, Delta
Director	M. Minnerick, Dickinson
Director	P Groleau, Menominee
Past-Chairperson	D Olson Dickinson
Executive Director	David C Gillis

June 1, 1998

Ms. Diane Rekowski
Northeast Michigan Council of Governments
P.O. Box 457 -
Gaylord, MI 49735

Dear Ms. Rekowski:

The County of Schoolcraft, as are most counties in the state, is in the process of updating its county solid waste plan. As part of the process, consideration is being given to waste import and export provisions. As you know, for the inter-county transfer of waste to take place, both the importing and exporting counties must include each other in their respective solid waste plans.


As this time, Schoolcraft County does not have a landfill and must rely on exporting its waste to facilities in Alger, Chippewa and Menominee County. The County Solid Waste Plan Update will continue with exporting waste to landfills located in the Upper Peninsula.

In addition to utilizing landfills in the Upper Peninsula, Schoolcraft County is interested in having the option available of exporting its wastes to counties in Northern Lower Michigan. Schoolcraft County currently generates about 5,384 tons of residential and commercial wastes per year. A large industrial waste generator, Manistique Papers, Inc., has its own waste disposal facility.

One behalf of the Schoolcraft County Solid Waste Planning Committee, we request the Updated Otsego County Solid Waste Plan authorize the importation of up to 100% of Schoolcraft County waste into Otsego County for primary disposal.

A response by our next meeting, June 23, would be greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions or comments, please feel free to give me a call.

Sincerely,



Peter Van Steen
Senior Planner

pvs:bbs

cc: William Bowman, chair
Melinda Keillor, DEQ



*"Some men see things as they are and say WHY,
we dream things that never were and say WHY NOT."
- George Bernard Shaw*

Attachments

Maps

Maps showing locations of solid waste disposal facilities used by the County.

Inter-County Agreements


Copies of Inter-County agreements with other Counties (if any).

None.


Special Conditions

Special conditions affecting import or export of solid waste.

None.



MILES



● TRANSFER STATION

⌘ TYPE II LANDFILL

CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA
 PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
 DISTRICT

Menominee County

Landfills And Transfer Stations

